The utility of the aortic dissection team: outcomes and insights after a decade of experience
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Background: Mortality rates following acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) repair are reduced when operations are performed by a high-volume acute aortic dissection (AAD) team, leading to efforts to centralize ATAAD care. Here, we describe our experience with ATAAD repair by our AAD team over the last 10 years, with a focus on patient selection, transfer protocols, operative approach, and volume trends over time.

Methods: An AAD team was implemented at our institution in 2005, with dedicated high-volume AAD surgeons, a multidisciplinary approach to thoracic aortic disease management, and a standardized protocol for ATAAD repair. Further process improvements were made in 2013 to facilitate the rapid transfer of ATAAD patients to our institution using streamlined triage, diagnostic, and transfer protocols for patients with suspected ATAAD (RACE-AD protocol). Volume trends and outcomes were assessed longitudinally over this period.

Results: Institutional ATAAD repair volume remained constant at 12±2 cases per year from 2005–2013, but increased nearly two-fold to 22±6 cases per year (P=0.004) from 2013–2015 following implementation of the RACE-AD protocol. To accommodate this increased volume, two additional surgeons were added to the AAD team. Surgeon ATAAD repair volume was unchanged over the 10-year interval (7.9±3.9 cases per year from 2005–2013 versus 5.5±1.5 cases per year from 2013–2015; P=0.36), and all AAD team surgeons consistently met or exceeded the high-volume surgeon threshold of 5 ATAAD repairs per year. Thirty-day/in-hospital mortality rates of less than 10% were maintained over the study period.

Conclusions: Centralization of ATAAD care has begun to occur at our center, with maintenance of low mortality rates for ATAAD repair. These data confirm a net positive impact on regional ATAAD outcomes through transfer of patients to a high-volume center with dedicated AAD surgeons.
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Introduction

Volume-outcomes relationships for high-risk surgery are now irrefutable and underlie efforts to centralize complex surgical care (1-3). Acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) repair is perhaps the most unpredictable and morbid operation in cardiothoracic surgery, and several studies have shown that mortality rates following ATAAD repair are reduced when operations are performed by high-volume surgeons and high-volume centers (4-6). Although regional networks for the rapid triage and transfer of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) (7,8) and trauma patients to specialized centers are well established, ATAAD patients are less amenable to centralization than other emergent/unstable patients due to the inability to diagnose ATAAD in the prehospital setting and the exceedingly
small number of high-volume aortic dissection centers in the United States (4-6). Nonetheless, centralization of acute aortic dissection (AAD) care is an emerging focus of national quality improvement in cardiovascular medicine and likely offers the single best opportunity to improve outcomes from this challenging disease (9-16), aside from prevention (17-19).

Beginning in July of 2005, we restructured our institutional approach to thoracic aortic disease management based on the hypothesis that performance of operations by a high-volume multidisciplinary aortic surgery team would lead to improved outcomes. The key feature of this program was the performance of nearly all thoracic aortic operations by cardiothoracic surgeons with advanced specialty training in thoracic aortic surgery, including a separate AAD call-pool covered only by cardiothoracic surgeons with aortic training. This system led to a modernization of our operative approach to proximal aortic repair and markedly increased surgeon-volume numbers for both elective and non-elective proximal aorta operations. When outcomes of ATAAD repair were specifically assessed six years after program implementation, results showed a dramatic 10-fold reduction in operative mortality compared to the preceding era, despite only a modest increase in institutional ATAAD repair volume. This experience demonstrated that quality improvement measures within a single institution based primarily on increased surgeon specialization were sufficient to normalize outcomes for these challenging cases (12).

Since this report our Thoracic Aortic Surgery Program (TASP) has taken an expanded role in regional ATAAD care through local outreach measures and the development of stream-lined triage, diagnostic, and transfer protocols for patients with suspected or confirmed ATAAD. In this report, we describe our experience with ATAAD repair and the AAD team over the first 10 years of the TASP at Duke University Medical Center, with a focus on patient selection, transfer protocols, operative approach and volume trends over time.

Methods

Patient population and data collection

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Duke University and the need for individual patient consent was waived. The multidisciplinary TASP at Duke University Medical Center includes cardiothoracic surgery, vascular surgery, cardiac anesthesia, cardiovascular medicine, cardiac critical care, radiology, neurology, pathology, medical genetics, blood bank, nursing, and perfusion specialists. Elective and non-elective thoracic aortic operations are performed primarily by four principal surgeons who received advanced fellowship training in thoracic aortic surgery. We retrospectively reviewed the records of all patients at our institution diagnosed with spontaneously occurring acute (less than two weeks from symptom onset) type A aortic dissection six years before (June 30, 1999–June 30, 2005) and 10 years after (July 1, 2005–December 31, 2015) implementation of the TASP. Patient records were identified from the prospectively-maintained Duke Thoracic Aortic Surgery Database (2005-present) (19) as well as from a query of the Duke Enterprise Data Unified Content Explorer for all patients with a discharge diagnosis code (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification, ICD-9CM) of 441.0 (Dissection of aorta) or 441.01 (Dissection of aorta, thoracic). Patients with iatrogenic ATAAD secondary to cardiac surgery or thoracic endovascular aortic repair were excluded from the analysis.

RACE-aortic dissection process flow design and the AAD team

Beginning in 2013, the RACE-Aortic Dissection (RACE-AD) process flow map was implemented at our hospital, in order to improve the efficiency of diagnosis, transfer, and management of patients with AAD. The RACE-AD program was modeled after, and borrows its name from, the Reperfusion of Acute Myocardial Infarction in North Carolina Emergency Departments (RACE-STEMI) program, a statewide program implemented in 2006 that outlined prehospital care protocols for the rapid triage and transport of STEMI patients directly to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)-capable facilities (7). However, it should be noted that there are no official regulatory policies or hospital agreements in North Carolina which instruct the disposition of acute dissection patients. The RACE-AD program therefore represents an internal process improvement initiative intended to improve the regional outcomes of AAD patients and increase institutional AAD volume through re-allocation of hospital resources and enhanced communication with affiliated and non-affiliated hospitals throughout the Southeast region.

The key features of the RACE-AD transfer protocol
include immediate communication between the referring physician and the attending aortic surgeon on-call, guided recommendations for prehospital imaging, laboratory, and hemodynamic management, direct patient transfer to the operating room (OR) or cardiothoracic intensive care unit (CTICU), lack of hospital bed divert for any suspected AAD patients, and OR standby within 30 minutes of AAD team activation (Figure 1). The AAD team is led by the aortic attending on-call and activation of the AAD team elicits a batch page to the aortic attending, CT fellow, CT anesthesia attending, CTICU charge nurse, CT OR charge nurse, OR front desk, CTICU attending, and perfusionist on-call, as well as the institutional International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) coordinator and RACE-AD project manager. Patients requiring emergent operation are transported directly to the OR, bypassing the emergency department and CTICU, and all surgical staff members of the AAD team are expected to have a 30 minute response time. Following completion of an ATAAD repair the aortic attending calls the referring physician to inform them of the results and provide feedback and recommendations for future transfers, thereby strengthening the referral network. A similar RACE-AD process flow map was created to expedite the diagnosis and management of patients presenting directly to our ED with suspected AAD.

**Patient selection and operative technique**

Our current approach to ATAAD repair has been previously published (12). Unstable patients (ongoing pain, hemodynamic compromise, tamponade, or malperfusion) and those who present within 48 hours of symptom onset are taken emergently to the operating room for immediate surgical repair. Stable, asymptomatic patients who arrived more than 48 hours after initial symptom onset undergo urgent operation after completion of a preoperative evaluation (20-22). Patients with established visceral malperfusion, especially if static malperfusion or severe limb ischemia with loss of motor function, undergo a “complication-specific approach” in an attempt to first alleviate the malperfusion syndrome (23,24). For patients with debilitating preoperative stroke, operation is delayed by 1–2 weeks in coordination with the neurology service if the patient is hemodynamically stable without rupture or tamponade. Patients with uncomplicated acute type A intramural hematoma with an ascending aortic diameter less than 5 centimeters are managed either medically or surgically (urgent rather than emergent surgery) at the discretion of the attending surgeon (25). Operation is deferred in patients with multi-system organ failure, prohibitive comorbidities, or in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) with chest compressions. Patients are generally considered unsuitable for transfer if undergoing active CPR or in refractory shock with impending arrest at the outside facility. Further, discussions regarding appropriateness of transfer are held with the referring physician in the case of patients with advanced comorbidities such as severe dementia, nursing home resident and so forth.

All cases are performed by median sternotomy with intraoperative transesophageal echocardiographic and invasive hemodynamic monitoring. Solu-Medrol (1 gram, intravenously) is administered for pharmacologic neuroprotection to all patients preoperatively. The standard operation involves aortic valve resuspension with ascending aorta and hemiarch replacement (26,27). Aortic root replacement is performed selectively for aortic root aneurysm, intrinsic aortic valve pathology not amenable to repair, or extensive destruction of the intima of the aortic root by the dissection process. Total arch replacement is similarly performed for aneurysm or extensive destruction of the transverse arch. For hemi- or total arch repair, the open distal anastomosis is completed under a period of moderate hypothermic circulatory arrest (HCA) (28) with intraoperative electroencephalographic (EEG) monitoring, when available (29,30). In the unmonitored cases, patients are cooled until the nasopharyngeal temperature is <24 °C as prior work from our group has demonstrated that EEG findings suggestive of cerebral ischemia occur more frequently following onset of HCA at warmer temperatures (28). Adjunctive antegrade cerebral perfusion via the right axillary artery is the preferred cerebral protection strategy in circulatory arrest cases, with retrograde cerebral perfusion being utilized in cases where the right axillary artery is not suitable for cannulation as previously described (26). Transfusion practices are performed as previously described, with intraoperative low-dose recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa) and/or prothrombin complex concentrate administered to patients with severe coagulopathy refractory to routine transfusions (26,31,32). Distal arch procedures or attempts to obliterate the descending thoracic aortic false lumen (i.e., frozen elephant trunk procedures) are not routinely performed, but rather, used selectively, such as in the case of a distal arch primary tear (retrograde type A dissection) or markedly
Figure 1 RACE-Aortic Dissection process flow map for patient transfers.
compressed descending aortic true lumen with radiographic malperfusion.

Statistical analysis

The Fisher’s exact test and Student’s T test were used for statistical comparisons. Calculations were performed using STATA 11.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Institutional ATAAD repair volume

Previous studies have shown improved outcomes of ATAAD repair at high-volume aortic dissection centers performing more than 11–13 AAD repairs per year (4–6). In the six years prior to implementation of the AAD team (July 1999–July 2005), 56 ATAAD repairs were performed yielding an average institutional volume of 9 ATAAD cases per year. Over the first 7.5 years of the AAD team (July 2005–December 2012), institutional ATAAD repair volume increased to 12±2 ATAAD cases per year (90 cases total), qualifying our program as a high-volume AAD center during this interval. However, ATAAD repair volume nearly doubled after implementation of the RACE-AD transfer protocol in 2013, and averaged 22±6 ATAAD cases per year (66 cases total) over the ensuing three calendar years, including 28 ATAAD repairs performed in 2015 alone (Figure 2).

Surgeon ATAAD repair volume

One prior study identified superior outcomes of ATAAD repair when operations were performed by high-volume AAD surgeons who performed more than five AAD repairs per year (5). During the six-year period prior to AAD team implementation, ATAAD operations were performed by 11 different surgeons yielding average annual procedural volumes of approximately two cases per year per surgeon over this period (12). The AAD team began in July of 2005 with one dedicated thoracic aortic surgeon (GCH). In 2008, a second surgeon (JGG) was added and in 2013 two additional surgeons were added (JNS and MAD) in order to accommodate the increasing institutional ATAAD volume associated with the RACE-AD transfer protocol and outreach efforts. The increase in AAD team surgeons closely paralleled the increase in institutional ATAAD repair volume, such that the annual ATAAD repair surgeon-volume remained relatively constant for all participating surgeons at 7.2±3.5 ATAAD repairs per year per surgeon (7.9±3.9 cases per year from 2005–2013 versus 5.5±1.5 cases per year from 2013–2015; P=0.36), and usually met or exceeded the high-volume surgeon threshold of five ATAAD repairs per year previously associated with superior outcomes (Figure 3) (5).

Operative mortality

In the six years prior to AAD team implementation, the 30-day/in-hospital operative mortality rate for ATAAD repair was 33.9%. Improved outcomes were consistently maintained over the first 10 years of the AAD team, and the cumulative 30-day/in-hospital operative mortality rate for ATAAD repair was 7.7% (P<0.0001 vs. the preceding era; Figure 4). Operative mortality rates remained low after implementation of the RACE-AD protocol (5.6% from 2005–2013 versus 10.6% from 2013–2015, P=0.36). Collectively, these operative mortality rates appeared similar or superior to operative mortality rates reported...
nationwide from other high-volume AAD centers (12.1–16.4%) (5,6).

Discussion

Mounting evidence suggests outcomes of ATAAD repair are improved when operations are performed by high-volume aortic dissection centers and surgeons (4–6,9-16). We aimed to improve our institutional and regional outcomes of ATAAD repair by development of a high-volume AAD team, and here we describe our experience over the first 10 years of program implementation. Results demonstrated that center and surgeon ATAAD repair volumes were consistently maintained at or above the high-volume AAD repair thresholds associated with superior outcomes (4–6). New transfer protocols and outreach efforts were successful in increasing institutional ATAAD repair volume by nearly two-fold (and rising), and operative mortality rates were consistently maintained below 10%. These data suggest a net positive impact on regional ATAAD outcomes through implementation and promotion of an AAD program, assuming operative mortality rates of 25% or higher for ATAAD repairs performed at outlying low-volume hospitals (5).

The results from our single-institution experience are consistent with the recent IRAD report demonstrating improved outcomes of ATAAD repair over time associated with an increased proportion of IRAD patients transferred from referring centers (33). These improved national and international outcomes are likely explained partly by era effect and overlapping improvements in infrastructure, surgical technology and techniques, and partly by the expedited diagnosis and transfer of ATAAD patients to high-volume centers with an increased number of operations performed by specialized high-volume AAD surgeons and teams. However, obstacles to the centralization of ATAAD care include the inability to diagnose ATAAD in the prehospital setting, the timeliness of transfer and operation in unstable patients, and the scarcity of high-volume aortic centers in the United States. The latter argument is perhaps the most salient, as studies from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database suggest only 15–20 centers in the United States meet high-volume criteria and perform more than 11–13 ATAAD repairs per year (4-6). This number is currently about half the number required to allow for one high-volume aortic center for every 5 to 10 million individuals in the United States, or the number predicted to allow most patients diagnosed with ATAAD to reach a referent thoracic aortic center within one to two hours (13). However, our study and others show that regionalization of ATAAD care is possible (9,10), and could likely be expanded through national cooperative efforts, as exemplified by the American Heart Association Lifeline Mission for the rapid diagnosis and transfer of STEMI patients to PCI-capable hospitals (7,8). A similar initiative aimed at concentrating ATAAD patients at one or two designated hospitals in large population centers, as well as improved transport of ATAAD patients to tertiary institutions in rural areas, would produce new high-volume regional aortic receiving centers and presumably improve outcomes (9).

In addition to concentrating ATAAD cases at a small number of centers, our experience also demonstrates the importance of restricting ATAAD cases to a small number of specialized surgeons. Our initial improvement in ATAAD repair outcomes was obtained primarily through an increase in surgeon volume and specialization without a major increase in institutional volume (12). Hence, some large centers that already benefit from a robust volume of dissection cases could further improve outcomes by allocating these cases to a small number of surgeons, as is already done in transplantation and other specialized areas of cardiothoracic surgery (5,13). These initiatives could be implemented immediately within many institutions without relying upon regionalization efforts.

Lastly, the increase in ATAAD volume at our institution was not without growing pains and required considerable buy-in from hospital leadership. The increased number of phone calls, patient evaluations, transfers, and operations required addition of two new surgeons to the AAD

---

**Figure 4** Operative mortality for acute type A aortic dissection repair over a 16-year period. Improved results were sustained over a 10-year period, with operative mortality rates consistently less than 10% after AAD team implementation.
program and placed an increased burden on ancillary staff and after-hours operating room resources. These volume increases would not have been possible without a significant expansion of our CTICU and OR resources, as well as agreements and negotiations with leadership members to give preference to AAD patients and to never be ‘on divert’ for AAD transfers due to a lack of ICU beds. However, with the increased volume of ATAAD cases and expansion of our AAD program we also observed a trend towards increased operative mortality. The explanation for this change could be due to chance (13), surgeon learning curve, a small decrease in surgeon-volume numbers, or a relaxation of patient selection criteria as a result of an increased enthusiasm and willingness to receive and offer surgery to ATAAD patients as a result of our AAD program and transfer protocols. It should also be acknowledged that the small sample size of our single-institution experience limits the utility of statistical comparisons of mortality rates and volume trends between time intervals. Nonetheless, we consider a 30-day/in-hospital ATAAD repair operative mortality rate of 10% or less to be the current benchmark number for high-volume aortic centers and the general target number for our program (27,34-37).

In summary, creation of an AAD team at our center was associated with improved outcomes of ATAAD repair and the beginnings of centralized ATAAD care in our region. This experience may help to inform the framework and design of other high-volume regional aortic centers.
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