Pathology of esophageal cancer and Barrett’s esophagus
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Esophageal cancer is a serious malignancy with high mortality. The two common distinctive pathologic subtypes of esophageal cancer are squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. These differ with regards to etiology, ethnic distribution, pathogenesis, and location in the esophagus. The precursor lesions are also unique to each subtype. Squamous cell carcinoma is more common in East Asia, is linked to smoking and tobacco use, more commonly involves the middle esophagus, and the precursor lesion is squamous dysplasia. Adenocarcinoma is more common in the United States and certain European countries, associated with obesity and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), more commonly involves the distal esophagus, and the precursor lesion is Barrett’s esophagus. Endoscopic surveillance with biopsy evaluation is the standard of care in high-risk groups. Endoscopic ablative therapies for early cancers have lower morbidity than surgery. Despite increased awareness, identification of high-risk groups and endoscopic surveillance, a large proportion of patients present with advanced cancers. Surgery and chemoradiation, either in neo-adjuvant or adjuvant setting, is the usual treatment for patients with advanced but resectable esophageal cancers. The prognosis and further management largely depends upon the pathologic tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging provided by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union against Cancer. Currently, the 7th edition of TNM staging system is being applied for prognostication and this is more focused on pathologic evaluation. Eighth edition of AJCC/UICC TNM staging has been introduced and will be implemented for clinical use in 2018.
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Introduction

Primary esophageal cancer comprise majority (greater than 95%) of all esophageal malignancies. Other malignant tumors such as lymphoma, sarcoma or metastasis are rare in the esophagus. Esophageal cancer represents 1% of all new cancer cases in the United States (SEER) (1). It is an aggressive cancer with a high mortality and an average 5-year survival of 18.4% (1). Worldwide, this is the eighth most common incident cancer and sixth most common cause of mortality (2). Esophageal cancer is a disease of older age with a peak incidence in 6th to 7th decade. Histologically, there are two predominant types of primary esophageal cancers: squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. Both of these are distinct entities with some overlap, with regards to epidemiologic distribution, risk factors, pathogenesis, and clinical and prognostic relevance. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the most common esophageal cancer worldwide. The highest rates are seen in the Asian/Eastern countries. However, the epidemiology of esophageal cancer in the US, Australia and certain parts of Europe has changed over last few decades. Until the 1970s, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) was the most common cancer in the United States and Western Europe. Over the last few decades the rates of ESCC has declined and that of esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) has increased in the Western world (3). The decrease in ESCC is probably due to decrease in alcohol
Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

ESCC most commonly occurs in the middle third of the esophagus and less commonly in the lower third. It is uncommon in the upper esophagus. Clinically, these present late and the usual presenting symptoms are dysphagia and weight loss followed by anorexia. ESCC arise from the squamous lining of the esophagus through progression of premalignant precursor lesions that occur in the presence of risk factors that cause chronic irritation and inflammation. Smoking, chewing tobacco and alcohol consumption are well-documented risk factors independently associated with ESCC (4,5). Dietary factors such as a diet low in fruits and vegetables leading to low antioxidant levels and vitamin deficiencies also contribute to development of ESCC (6). The role of human papilloma virus (HPV) in development of ESCC is not well established yet (7). Certain genetic factors also play a role in development of ESCC. Nonepidermolytic palmpoplantar keratoderma (Tylosis) is an autosomal dominant disease, characterized by palmer and planter hyperkeratosis and is associated with very high frequency ESCC. These patients have a mutation in RHBDL2 located on chromosome 17q25 [tylosis esophageal cancer (TOC) gene] (8). Achalasia, a functional disorder of esophageal motility, is a known cause of ESCC due to effects of chronic food stasis and a persistent inflammatory state (9).

Premalignant/precursor lesion

Chronic esophagitis: Early studies suggested that mild to moderate chronic esophagitis was associated with family history of esophageal cancer and other risk factors of ESCC (10). Subsequently, systematic studies with endoscopic surveillance, biopsy evaluation, and follow-up to the development of ESCC, showed that esophagitis is nonspecific, and the only true precursor lesion of ESCC is squamous dysplasia (11,12).

Squamous dysplasia/Intraepithelial neoplasia: Dysplasia is defined as a disordered proliferation of cells limited to the epithelium due to genetic alterations with a predisposition to invasion and metastasis (13). Squamous dysplasia is usually asymptomatic. Endoscopically, the dysplastic mucosa may appear completely normal, or may show mucosal changes of friability, erythema, erosions, plaques and nodules. Sensitivity of endoscopic examination can be increased by use of Lugol’s iodine (14). Exfoliative balloon cytology can be used to obtain esophageal cells for evaluation of dysplasia for surveillance in high-risk groups (15).

Squamous dysplasia is a histologic lesion confined to epithelium and is characterized by cytologic and architectural abnormalities. The cytologic abnormalities include nuclear enlargement, hyperchromasia, pleomorphism and increased and/or abnormal mitosis. The architectural changes include loss of polarity and lack of surface maturation. The abnormality starts from the basal layer, and based on the extent of involvement of thickness of epithelium by atypical cells, the dysplasia was traditionally graded as mild (up to one-third), moderate (up to two-thirds) and severe (involving upper one-third). In 2000, the WHO adopted the term “intraepithelial neoplasia” (IEN) for dysplasia, and classified IEN in a two-tier system as low-grade or high-grade. When less than half of thickness of epithelium is involved with atypical cells it is graded as low-grade (Figure 1A) and when greater than half of thickness is involved it is graded as high-grade. Full thickness involvement of epithelium is also called “squamous cell carcinoma in situ” (CIS) or “noninvasive squamous cell carcinoma” in the Japanese literature (13) (Figure 1B). A special type of CIS where the atypical cells are restricted to the basal cell layer is called “basal-layer type of squamous cell carcinoma in situ” in Japan (13). However, this would be designated as low grade IEN as per Western criteria. The dysplasia may extend into esophageal submucosal glands and ducts, and may mimic stromal invasion (16). The dysplastic cells may also spread horizontally in a pagetoid manner (17). Multicentricity of dysplasia and ESCC support the concept of field effect in carcinogenesis (18). Increasing grades of dysplasia predict increased risk of ESCC (11,12,19).

Mimickers of dysplasia include reactive/regenerative changes secondary to esophagitis, and effects of radiotherapy or chemotherapy. The reactive epithelium shows surface maturation and lacks nuclear crowding or abnormal mitosis. Reactive nuclei are enlarged with fine vesicular chromatin and presence of small nucleoli. Radiation induced changes include cytoplasmic eosinophilia, cytoplasmic vacuolation, and low nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio with reactive nuclear density.
changes. The term “indefinite for dysplasia” can be appropriately used in uncertain cases.

**Invasive esophageal squamous cell carcinoma**

ESCC occurs most commonly in the middle third of esophagus followed by lower one-third and upper one-third respectively. Clinically, it presents as dysphagia, weight loss and retrosternal pain. Endoscopically, it can be polypoid, flat or ulcerated. On endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), infiltrating ESCC presents as a circumscribed diffuse wall thickening with echo-poor pattern due to destruction of layers of esophageal wall.

**Histopathology**

Invasion of neoplastic squamous cells into lamina propria and deeper layers defines invasive ESCC. Histologically, the tumor can show variable differentiation. Well-differentiated ESCC show presence of keratin pearls, individual cell keratinization and intercellular bridges (Figure 1C). Poorly differentiated ESCC lack these features and is determined to be squamous in origin based on pattern of infiltration, presence of IEN or in situ lesions in the adjacent squamous mucosa, or with help of immunohistochemical markers such as CK5/6 or p63. Moderately differentiated ESCC show intermediate features.

**Morphological variants**

Basaloid squamous cell carcinoma shows predominance of basaloid features characterized by basaloid cells with oval hyperchromatic nuclei, scant cytoplasm and solid nests with peripheral palisading. The important differential diagnosis is adenoid cystic carcinoma, which has a better prognosis. Verrucous carcinoma is a slow growing exophytic papillary tumor, and morphologically is a very well differentiated squamous carcinoma. These pose a diagnostic challenge for pathologists, as they are papillary tumors with mild cellular atypia restricted to basal layers. These are difficult to distinguish from squamous papillomas. These tumors can have foci of invasion as a broad pushing front but have no metastatic potential. Some cases are locally infiltrative with fistula formation.

Carcinosarcoma is another histological variant with biphasic morphology composed of malignant epithelial and spindle cells, and sometimes show a mesenchymal differentiation. The spindle cells may be bland or pleomorphic with frequent mitosis. Mesenchymal differentiation may be chondroid, rhabdoid or osteoid. Immunohistochemically, the spindle cell component is cytokeratin and vimentin positive. These tumors are generally thought to have better prognosis (20), however, a recent study from Italy showed conflicting results (21).

**Esophageal adenocarcinoma and Barrett’s esophagus**

Adenocarcinoma of the esophagus is a carcinoma with glandular differentiation that arises in the setting of Barrett’s esophagus (BE). The most important etiological factor for EAC is Barrett’s esophagus in the setting of gastro-esophageal reflux. Other risk factors include male sex, Caucasian race, tobacco smoking and obesity (22). Helicobacter pylori infection is inversely correlated to EAC (23).

**Barrett’s esophagus**

Barrett’s esophagus is essentially defined as columnar...
metaplasia that replaces the stratified squamous epithelium of the distal esophagus, and has a predisposition to develop adenocarcinoma (24). Diagnosis of BE involves both endoscopic and pathologic evaluation. Although the presence of nongoblet foveolar epithelium in biopsies obtained from endoscopically visible columnar-lined esophagus is sufficient for a diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus in some European countries (25), intestinal-type goblet cells (intestinal metaplasia) are required for this diagnosis in the United States (26). The lack of intestinal metaplasia (IM) is associated with lower risk of malignant transformation (27). The guidelines for diagnosis and management of BE are set forth by the British society of Gastroenterology (BSG) and by American Gastroenterology Association (AGA)/American College of Gastroenterologists (AGC), and have evolved over time. As per most recent recommendation by ACG, BE should be diagnosed when there is extension of salmon-colored mucosa ≥1 cm proximal to the gastroesophageal junction on endoscopy, and presence of IM on biopsy evaluation (28). Currently, American Gastroenterological Association recommends screening for BE in patients with chronic GERD symptoms and multiple risk factors (i.e., 50 years of age or older, white race, male gender, obesity, history of smoking, family history for BE or EAC) (24).

**Issues in diagnosis of BE**

Endoscopic identification of salmon colored mucosa in the distal esophagus needs knowledge of landmarks for identification of the GEJ. These landmarks include the distal end of long palisading esophageal vessels and the proximal ends of gastric folds (29). These can be obscured in the presence of hiatal hernia or severe reflux esophagitis. ACG guidelines recommend adequate sampling in terms of procurement of at least four biopsy samples for every 2 cm segment of BE (24). Based on the length of columnar lined esophagus, BE can be short segment BE (>1 cm and <3 cm) and long segment BE (>3 cm). The detection of intestinal metaplasia increased progressively with increasing length of abnormal columnar epithelium, being present in 70.4% in the 1- to 2-cm group, 89.5% in the 3- to 4-cm group, and 100% within the greater than or equal to 5 cm group (30). The number of biopsy samples is important as intestinal metaplasia can be missed on initial biopsy evaluation in short segment BE. Repeat endoscopy and biopsy is recommended in such situations (31). Since the definition of BE requires endoscopic identification of ≥1 cm length of columnar lined esophagus, it is recommended that, in absence of endoscopic findings, pathologists be descriptive in diagnosis of biopsies from GEJ or distal esophagus. They should describe the presence or absence of intestinal metaplasia. If intestinal metaplasia is present, this feature can be further qualified with a comment mentioning “IM seen in the biopsy may be representative of BE if biopsies are derived from the tubular esophagus in the setting of appropriate endoscopic features” (29). This is because the intestinal metaplasia of the GEJ or gastric cardia is not associated with increased risk of cancer. Some histologic features such as presence of esophageal glands/ducts, multilayered epithelium and buried BE (Barrett’s mucosa underlying intact squamous epithelium) suggest that biopsies are esophageal in origin (32).

Pseudogoblet cells are common mimickers of true goblet cells of BE. Intestinal metaplasia consists of singly lying true goblet-shaped cells interspersed randomly in foveolar epithelium. These cells contain acidic mucin (carbohydrate-rich proteins) which stain pale blue on routine hematoxylin and eosin stain, and intensely blue on Alcian blue at pH 2.5. In comparison, gastric nongoblet cell mucosa stain magenta/red on PAS staining. Pseudogoblet cells are commonly seen in cardiac mucosa. These cells are also goblet-shaped surface mucous cells, which in contrast to true goblet cells, tend to cluster together. Interobserver agreement for diagnosing true goblet cells, and distinguishing them from pseudogoblet cells, has been shown to be extremely poor (33). PAS and alcian blue stain at pH 2.5 stains pseudogoblet cells dark magenta in color as compared to true goblet cells. Some cases may show intense blue staining, similar to true goblet cells. Presence of columnar blue cells is a diagnostic pitfall of this histochemical stain. Columnar blue cells are mucinous columnar cells without the characteristic goblet shaped mucin droplet that stain blue with PAS at pH of 2.5.

Multilayered epithelium (MLE) has been considered as a precursor to BE (32,34). This consists of 4–8 layers of basally located squamous cells and associated superficial columnar mucinous epithelium that can mimic goblet cells. Study by Glickman et al. 2009 showed that MLE from patients with GERD and patients with BE is immunophenotypically similar sharing expression of markers CDX 2 and MUC 2, thereby indicating that MLE represents early/transitional form of columnar metaplasia.

No definitive distinction can be made between the intestinal metaplasia arising from esophagus or gastric cardia. Multiple studies carried out a battery of immunohistochemical stains e.g., CK7, CK20, MUC1,
MUC2, MUC6, HepPar1, MUC5AC, and DAS1, to differentiate BE from intestinal metaplasia of the cardiac mucosa (35-37), but all found similar immunophenotypic expression patterns among BE and cardiac mucosa with intestinal metaplasia.

**Dysplasia in BE**

Pathologist plays an important role in surveillance of BE by evaluating biopsies for features of dysplasia. Dysplasia is defined as neoplastic epithelium with cytologic and architectural atypia confined to the epithelium. The features evaluated include surface maturation, glandular architecture, cytologic atypia and presence of inflammation/erosions. Based on consensus among experts dysplasia in BE are classified into 4 categories: Negative for dysplasia; indefinite for dysplasia; low-grade dysplasia and high-grade dysplasia (38).

**Negative for dysplasia (NDS):** The BE mucosa shows preserved surface maturation characterized by darkly staining nuclei with stratification at the base of glands as compared to the surface where nuclei are paler, maintain polarity and lack stratification. The cytologic atypia is limited to the basal portion of glands. Architecturally the glands are round and surrounded by abundant lamina propria. Inflammation, if present, may show reactive nuclear changes (Figure 2A).

**Indefinite for dysplasia (IND):** The BE mucosa in this category shows changes in deeper glands suggestive/consistent with dysplasia, however, the surface maturation is preserved. In this category the cytologic atypia includes nuclear hyperchromasia, nuclear membrane irregularities and increased mitosis. Sometimes the nuclear atypia in presence of active inflammation may appear exaggerated enough to be designated as indefinite for dysplasia.

**Low-grade dysplasia (LGD):** The Barrett's mucosa shows loss of surface maturation and architectural distortion with glandular crowding, in absence of active inflammation. There is a sharp contrast between neoplastic and non-neoplastic mucosa. Nuclei in the surface mucosa show hyperchromasia, nuclear enlargement, stratification and mucin loss. Mitotic figures can be seen on the surface (Figure 2B).

**High-grade dysplasia:** The Barrett's mucosa shows loss of surface maturation (as in LGD) and glandular crowding. The nuclei show loss of polarity and are rounded, enlarged, hyperchromatic with inconspicuous nucleoli. Mitoses are frequent. Inflammation is less in comparison to the architectural and cytologic atypia. Presence of ulceration, active inflammation and/or prominent nucleoli are features indicative of reactive/reparative changes due to a benign process, or are concerning for an associated invasive carcinoma. Additional features suggestive of invasive adenocarcinoma on biopsies include cribriform glandular architecture, luminal necrotic debris, ulceration, neutrophils within dysplastic glands and pagetoid spread of neoplastic cells in the overlying squamous mucosa (Figure 2C).

**Variants of dysplasia**

Deep crypt dysplasia: Dysplasia with cytologic features of low-grade or high-grade dysplasia limited to the deep glands. Surface maturation is preserved. This is a rare occurrence and has been found to be associated with risk of progression due to presence of molecular alterations similar to conventional dysplasia (39).

Foveolar type dysplasia: This is usually not associated with intestinal metaplasia. The dysplastic foveolar glands show mild architectural changes and architectural crowding. The glands are lined by low cuboidal to columnar epithelium with eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm. The nuclei are round to oval and show prominent nucleoli. Typical and atypical mitoses are seen. The dysplastic foveolar glands are positive for MUC5AC, rarely for MUC6, and negative CDX2 and MUC2, unlike the classic adenomatous dysplasia. Dysplasia with ‘hybrid’ features of intestinal and foveolar dysplasia can occur.

**Intramucosal adenocarcinoma**

Intramucosal adenocarcinoma is defined by invasion of carcinoma into lamina propria but not beyond muscularis mucosae. The features of intramucosal adenocarcinoma are syncytial growth pattern with back-to-back glands, presence of single cells and small clusters within lamina propria (Figure 2D). Desmoplasia may not be present but if present, it is very subtle.

As per AGA recommendations, the surveillance of BE with repeat endoscopy and biopsy sampling is done every 3–5 years if the biopsy is negative for dysplasia, 6–12 months for a biopsy-proven low-grade dysplasia. Endoscopic ablation therapy/or a follow-up every 3 months is recommended for high-grade dysplasia.

**Esophageal adenocarcinoma**

The majority of esophageal adenocarcinomas arise in the distal esophagus. Uncommonly, it can arise more proximally in heterotopic gastric mucosa. The development of EAC appears to occur through progressive accumulation...
of multiple genetic abnormalities such as mutational inactivation of p16, and p53 genes, cell cycle abnormalities and aneuploidy (40). The molecular mechanism is complex and cannot be attributed to a single genetic event (41). Endoscopically, if detected early these tumors will present as mucosal irregularities. In later stages they appear as ulcerated/infiltrative or exophytic masses with obstruction. Histologically, these are gland-forming tumors with a tubular, tubulopapillary or papillary growth pattern. A small subset of cases shows mucinous differentiation. A few cases of diffuse signet ring cell adenocarcinoma have also been reported (42). Foci of BE with high-grade dysplasia are commonly seen in epithelium adjacent to the tumor. The tumors show variable grades of differentiation based on the amount of gland formation, and the nuclear atypia generally follows the grade of differentiation. Well-differentiated tumors show more than 95% gland formation, moderately differentiated tumors show 50–95% gland formation and poorly differentiated tumors show <50% gland formation. Differential diagnosis includes metastasis or direct extension from tumors of lung or breast. Morphologically these mimic primary adenocarcinoma of esophagus. Immunohistochemical staining is helpful to differentiate. In contrast to primary adenocarcinoma of esophagus, adenocarcinoma of the lung is positive for immunostain for thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1), and breast adenocarcinoma is positive for immunostains for estrogen receptor (ER) and GATA 3.

Rare tumors can be biphenotypic, with both squamous and mucinous/glandular differentiation. The tumors with intimate admixture of squamous and mucinous elements are designated as mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Tumors composed of two separate squamous and mucinous elements, adjacent to each other, are termed as adenosquamous carcinoma. Tumor stage at diagnosis determines prognosis for these biphenotypic tumors.

**Pathologic stage and prognostic factors of esophageal cancers**

The surgical resection specimens for esophageal cancer typically comprise of endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR),

---

**Figure 2** Barrett’s esophagus dysplasia/neoplasia. (A) Barrett’s esophagus, negative for dysplasia. Hematoxylin and Eosin stain ×200; (B) Barrett’s esophagus with low grade dysplasia. Hematoxylin and Eosin stain ×200; (C) Barrett esophagus with high grade dysplasia. Hematoxylin and Eosin stain ×200; (D) Barrett’s esophagus with intramucosal adenocarcinoma. Hematoxylin and Eosin stain ×100.
endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) (Figure 3) and/or esophagectomy with lymphadenectomy (Figure 4). Pathologic evaluation is performed to determine the presence/absence of residual tumor post-neoadjuvant chemoradiation, depth of invasion (pT stage), tumor regression grade and nodal metastasis (pN). The pathologic reporting is done on a standardized format jointly provided by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/Union for International Cancer Control (UICC), and College of American Pathologists (CAP). Currently the AJCC/UICC TNM 7th edition (43) is the staging system used for esophageal resection specimens to predict prognosis in ESCC and EAC (Table 1). Several studies have shown that assessment of pathologic response to neoadjuvant therapy, in terms of tumor regression grade and nodal metastasis, are independent prognostic factors for both EAC and ESCC (44-46).

**Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma**

*Superficial squamous cell carcinoma* is an early invasive esophageal cancer confined to mucosa or submucosa with/without lymph node metastasis (stage T1 by AJCC/UICC TNM 7th edition). These have better prognosis-compared to deep/conventional ESCC with an overall 5-year survival of greater than 60% (47). The superficial squamous carcinomas are further sub-classified based on depth of invasion: m1 intraepithelial non-invasive carcinoma, m2 carcinoma invading into lamina propria, m3 carcinoma extending to or invading muscularis mucosae, sm1 carcinoma invading into superficial one-third submucosa, sm2 carcinoma invading into middle one-third submucosa and sm3 carcinoma invading into lower one-third of submucosa (48). Some studies have reported presence of lymph node metastasis in submucosal (T1b, sm1/2/3) tumors, but absence of lymph node metastasis in tumors with only mucosal invasion (stage T1a including m1, m2 and m3) (49). Others studies (50,51), have reported no lymph node metastasis in m1 lesions, but have found lymph node metastasis in a small percentage of m1/m2 tumors, as well as in sm1/2/3 tumors. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) or endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is frequently used to treat early ESCC (Stage T1a). Surgery is currently the standard treatment for cancers with submucosal invasion (stage T1b) in ESCC (52).
Deep/conventional esophageal carcinoma

Infiltration of squamous cell carcinoma into deeper layers of esophageal wall is common and is associated with poor prognosis due to the risk of lymph node metastasis and systemic metastasis. These usually present late and the standard treatment is neoadjuvant radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy. Surgery is usually done after neoadjuvant treatment. The overall survival of ESCC is 36.4% (53). Prognosis depends on the depth of mural invasion, tumor regression grade and lymph node metastasis. The tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) system by the American Joint Committee on Cancer and the International Union Against Cancer 7th Edition is the most widely used system for prognostication in treatment-naive esophageal resections and for resections post neoadjuvant chemoradiation. For prognostic stage groupings, this system also incorporates the tumor location and histologic grade, besides the TNM stage. Tumor regression grade is not taken into account for determination of the stage groups in the current staging system. Wang et al. (53) validated the 7th edition staging system for ESCC and reported significant differences in survival among different stage groups. They reported 5-year stage-based survival rates as follows: IA, 84.9%; IB, 70.9%; IIA, 56.2%; IIB, 43.3%; IIIA, 37.9%; IIIB, 23.3%; IIIC, 12.9% and IV, 3.4%. However, they did not find histologic grade or cancer location to be important/independent prognostic factors.

Esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC)

These tumors involve the distal esophagus and the gastroesophageal junction (GEJ). Adenocarcinoma of the GEJ is a tumor whose epicenter is located within 5 cm of esophageal or gastric side of GEJ (54). Siewert classification is a widely used anatomic classification of adenocarcinoma of GEJ that is based on the location of the tumor with

| Table 1 Pathologic TNM stage for esophageal cancers as per AJCC/UICC 7th edition (43) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Categories | Criteria |
| pT category | |
| pTX | Cannot be assessed |
| pT0 | No evidence of primary tumor |
| pT1 | Tumor invades lamina propria, muscularis mucosae or submucosa |
| pT1a | Tumor invades lamina propria or muscularis mucosae |
| pT1b | Tumor invades submucosa |
| pT2 | Tumor invades muscularis propria |
| pT3 | Tumor invades adventitia |
| pT4 | Tumor invades adjacent structures |
| pT4a | Resectable tumor invading pleura, pericardium and diaphragm |
| pT4b | Unresectable tumor invading other adjacent structures, such as aorta, vertebral body, trachea, etc. |
| pN category | |
| pNX | Cannot be assessed |
| pN0 | No regional lymph node metastasis |
| pN1 | Regional lymph node metastasis involving 1–2 nodes |
| pN2 | 3–6 nodes involved |
| pN3 | 7 or more nodes involved |
| pM category | |
| pM0 | No distant metastasis |
| pM1 | Distant metastasis present |
respect to the gastric cardia and has three types: Siewert type I tumors are adenocarcinoma of distal esophagus, Siewert type II tumors are adenocarcinoma of gastric cardia, and Siewert type III tumors are subcardial adenocarcinoma of proximal stomach infiltrating the GEJ (55). TMN pathologic stage is the most important prognostic factor for esophageal adenocarcinoma. Presence of nodal metastasis is related to the depth of tumor infiltration. Endoscopic resection, either EMR or ESD is the preferred treatment, as compared to esophagectomy, for early stage EAC because of low risk of nodal metastasis. This includes tumors with invasion into lamina propria and muscularis mucosae (stage 1a) and stage 1b tumors with sm1 depth of invasion (49). Esophagectomy with standard lymphadenectomy is the treatment of choice for stage 1b tumors with sm2/3 depth of invasion, because of increased risk of nodal metastasis (49). Presence of lymphovascular invasion and positive deep margin has been shown to be associated with reduced overall survival in T1 EAC (56). Number of positive lymph nodes also has an important prognostic factor (57). Survival in EAC decreases with increase in depth of invasion (pT), with nodal metastasis (pN), positive resection margins and increasing histologic grades (58).

**HER 2 and gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma**

Human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER 2) is a tyrosine kinase receptor encoded by proto-oncogene HER2 (ERBB2) located on chromosome 17. This receptor belongs to the epidermal growth factor receptor family and its phosphorylation leads to cell division, proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis.

Drugs targeted against tumors expressing HER 2 protein have been found to have survival benefit in breast cancers. An international phase 3 randomized clinical trial using monoclonal anti HER 2 antibody, trastuzumab, directed against HER 2 protein was found to prolong survival in adenocarcinomas of stomach and gastroesophageal junction that expressed HER2 (59).

The HER 2 status of tumor can be assessed by immunohistochemistry on biopsies as well as resections. In-situ hybridization (ISH) is used to further confirm the equivocal (score 2) cases on immunohistochemistry. Based on the guidelines provided by National comprehensive cancer network (NCCN), trastuzumab is given only to patients with advanced GEJ/gastric adenocarcinoma showing positive staining (score 3) for HER2 by immunohistochemistry, and with evidence of HER2 amplification by ISH in cases, which showed equivocal staining (score 2) by IHC (60).
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