doi: 10.21037/acs.2018.08.03

Cite this article as: Abrams D, Garan AR, Brodie D. Awake and fully mobile patients on cardiac extracorporeal life support. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2018. doi: 10.21037/acs.2018.08.03

This is a PDF file of an edited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this Online First version of the manuscript. The manuscript has undergone copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.
Awake and fully mobile patients on cardiac extracorporeal life support
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Early mobilization of critically ill patients is increasingly being recognized as not only safe and feasible, but also as a potential means of optimizing outcomes in the intensive care unit (ICU). With the rapidly expanding use of extracorporeal life support (ECLS) for severe cardiopulmonary failure, there is a growing interest in the application of early mobilization to this patient population, which has been shown to be safe and feasible in select patient populations. However, some patients receiving ECLS support may benefit more than others. For instance, early mobilization may be particularly beneficial in patients awaiting heart or lung transplantation, as maintenance of physical conditioning may be an important component of a patient's transplant candidacy. The ability to engage critically ill patients in active physical therapy and early mobilization necessarily involves minimization of sedation and is often further facilitated by a strategy that favors endotracheal extubation. Whether an awake, extubated and mobile strategy can be applied in any given patient is often dictated by the severity of the underlying disease and the amount of extracorporeal support required. Additionally, whether this approach is superior to usual care, which patients might benefit or be harmed, and which patient characteristics are most likely to predict success of this strategy, are areas of ongoing investigation.
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Introduction

Functional impairment is a well-established consequence of critical illness, particularly among those with prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stays in the context of sepsis, multi-organ failure, or prolonged mechanical ventilation (1,2) and may persist well beyond the incident hospitalization. Perhaps the best-characterized example of this is with respiratory failure in the context of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), where physical debility from neuromuscular weakness has been shown to persist for years beyond the initial insult (3,4). Among the multifactorial etiologies of neuromuscular dysfunction, bed rest has been implicated as an important and potentially modifiable contributor (5). Further compounding the development of neuromuscular dysfunction in the ICU is the frequent use of sedation, particularly among patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation for cardiopulmonary failure, often for the purpose of achieving adequate patient comfort, ventilator synchrony, cardiopulmonary stability and patient safety (1,6).

In this context and in an effort to reduce the morbidity associated with critical illness, there has been an increased focus on interventions that minimize immobility and sedation in the ICU (7-11). A systematic review with meta-analysis of studies involving mobilization interventions in the ICU demonstrated that these interventions are safe, with a very low rate of potential safety events (2.6%) and an even lower rate of consequences from these events (0.6%) (12). There is conflicting data as to whether early mobilization...
in the ICU has a favorable impact on patient outcomes. While two prospective studies demonstrated favorable results of early mobilization on ICU and hospital length of stay, rates of delirium, and ventilator-free days compared to usual care (7,13), others, including a randomized controlled trial of more intensive physical therapy in acute respiratory failure patients, have failed to demonstrate any effect on these same outcomes (14). A subsequent meta-analysis by Tipping et al. showed that active rehabilitation in the ICU was associated with more days alive and out of the ICU at 6 months, without any significant impact on mortality (15). With early mobilization shown to be achievable in the general critical care population, albeit with varying effects, there has been an interest in extending this strategy to those patients with the most severe forms of cardiopulmonary failure who are likely to be at greatest risk for the development of sequelae of critical illness. Generally, this population would be considered too unstable for mobilization because of extremes in cardiac and respiratory insufficiency. However, with the potential for extracorporeal life support (ECLS) to provide sufficient hemodynamic and gas exchange support (16), there is now greater opportunity to engage these patients in active physical therapy and early mobilization. Success with this approach is likely to be greatest when combined with efforts to minimize sedation and endotracheal intubation. The objectives of this review are to understand the current state of early mobilization for patients with cardiac failure supported with ECLS, the impact that ECLS configuration and patient management strategies may have on implementation and success of early mobilization and which patient populations might be best suited for and benefit most from early mobilization.

**Extracorporeal configurations and impact on mobilization**

ECLS consists of an extracorporeal circuit that has the ability to provide both gas exchange and circulatory support for patients with severe forms of cardiac or respiratory failure (16). The device consists of a gas exchange membrane through which venous blood is passed via a centrifugal pump. Within this gas exchanger, oxygen diffuses across a semipermeable membrane from a gas supply, known as sweep gas, into the blood, while carbon dioxide diffuses out of the blood. The well-oxygenated blood is then returned to the patient. Whether the device is providing gas exchange alone, or both gas exchange and hemodynamic support, is determined by the configuration of the device and where the blood is reinfused. For respiratory support, venovenous ECLS is the approach traditionally used, whereby deoxygenated venous blood is drained via a cannula placed in a central vein and oxygenated blood is reinfused back into a central vein (17). When ECLS is needed for hemodynamic support, such as in cases of severe, refractory cardiogenic shock, drainage still occurs via a central vein, but oxygenated blood is instead reinfused into the arterial system, referred to as venoarterial ECLS, thereby supplying blood flow directly into the systemic circulation (18).

The location of the ECLS cannulae will have a significant impact not only on the effectiveness of the circuit in providing cardiopulmonary support, but also on the ability to perform early mobilization. Venoarterial ECLS most commonly involves femoral cannulation for both drainage via a femoral vein and reinfusion via a femoral artery. Although early mobilization has been demonstrated to be safe in patients with other types of femoral catheters without any reported catheter-related complications (19,20), ECLS cannulae are larger and perceived to be at a greater risk of complications, particularly arterial cannulae, than the types of catheters studied, making the avoidance of femoral ECLS cannulation preferable when mobilization is anticipated (21). Novel configuration strategies that avoid femoral cannulation in venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) include venous drainage via the internal jugular vein and reinfusion into the subclavian or innominate artery (Figure 1) (22,23), with or without left ventricular apical cannulation for ventricular unloading purposes (24,25). When left ventricular support is still required but gas exchange and right ventricular support are no longer needed, this hybrid configuration may be converted to an apical-axillary extracorporeal left ventricular assist device (VAD) by removing the venous drainage cannula and gas exchange membrane (24). The avoidance of sternotomy and need for cardiopulmonary bypass that would be employed with conventional surgical VADs makes this minimally invasive approach a more attractive option in its risk profile and further facilitates mobilization (25). Alternatively, a central ECLS approach (e.g., right atrial drainage and aortic reinfusion) may be considered when peripherally cannulated ECLS cannot provide sufficient support; though this approach necessitates a sternotomy (26).

In patients requiring ECLS support in the setting of severe pulmonary hypertension with a concomitant inter-atrial communication (e.g., atrial septal defect), a scenario that would traditionally require venoarterial ECLS, a bicaval, dual-lumen cannula may be inserted through the internal
jugular vein with the reinfusion jet directed across the defect, effectively creating an oxygenated right-to-left shunt and allowing for decompression of the right heart (27-29). The creation of an atrial septostomy in select patients with pulmonary hypertension may allow for the use of this approach as well (30,31). An upper-body cannulation strategy is not limited to venoarterial ECLS. While a traditional venovenous ECLS approach is performed via two-site cannulation (most commonly femoral venous drainage and internal jugular venous reinfusion) (17), advances in cannula design have allowed for single-site cannulation with a bicaval, dual-lumen cannula for drainage both from the superior and inferior vena cava and reinfusion directed across the tricuspid valve (32), thereby avoiding the need for femoral cannulation.

In addition to novel cannulation strategies, there have been significant advances in the development of circuit components that are increasingly compact, including integrated systems in which the pump, membrane and console are contained within a single unit (33). While this compactness and portability of modern ECLS circuits have had perhaps their greatest impact on ease of inter-hospital transport for mobile ECLS transport teams (34,35), they have simultaneously made it easier to achieve intra-hospital transport, including early mobilization (Figure 2) (16,36).

**Patient selection and optimization for mobilization**

Extracorporeal support may be considered in cases of severe cardiac or respiratory failure. The potential for and prioritization of early mobilization will vary based on the underlying etiology and the intention of extracorporeal support, with use of an upper-body cannulation approach often preferred when mobility is of sufficiently high priority.

The feasibility and impact of early mobilization in the ECLS-supported cardiogenic shock population is poorly characterized in the literature, mostly due to the fact that the prognosis is often uncertain, with patients frequently receiving ECLS as a bridge to decision between recovery, VAD and transplantation. Although the existing data on mobilization in cardiac patients during ECLS is limited to small case series and anecdotal descriptions suggesting feasibility (24-26,37), there is an expanding repository of

![Figure 1 Venoarterial ECMO via internal jugular venous drainage and innominate arterial reinfusion through an end-to-side graft. Inset shows anastomosis angle from lateral view and dashed yellow line denotes location and extent of mini-sternotomy. Reproduced from Chicotka et al. (23) with permission from COACH Surgery at Columbia University. ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.](https://example.com/image.png)
published literature in the respiratory ECLS population, from which data may be extrapolated to potentially justify a comparable approach in cardiac patients.

Owing to the need for the maintenance of physical fitness to ensure there is sufficient physiological reserve to survive and recover from transplant surgery, the greatest focus of early mobilization during ECLS has been in the bridge to transplant (BTT) population. In fact, good rehabilitation potential has been cited among the characteristics for which pre-lung transplant ECLS has been recommended in the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation guidelines (38). Not only should patients already receiving ECLS as BTT be prioritized for mobilization, but as physical deconditioning and immobilization are considered strong relative contraindications to transplant candidacy, ECLS should be considered as a means of optimizing physical rehabilitation before significant debility develops as a result of severe cardiopulmonary failure and impaired exercise capacity (39). Although the pre-lung transplant ECLS mobilization data mostly focus on patients with isolated respiratory failure, there is emerging data for the subset of patients with pulmonary hypertension and concomitant right ventricular failure, from which parallels may be drawn to the more commonly encountered left-sided cardiogenic shock population (28,29,40,41).

An early mobilization strategy has been shown in the literature to confer an advantage for successful bridge to lung transplant (42–44). In a cohort of 72 patients receiving ECLS as bridge to transplantation, in which an awake, active physical therapy approach was prioritized, 69.4% of patients ambulated while receiving ECLS support (42). The rate of ambulation, perhaps not surprisingly, was significantly higher among patients who survived to transplantation than those who did not survive (80% vs. 56.2%, P=0.03). Among the patients who were successfully transplanted but did not ambulate (n=8), all patients were able to perform active physical therapy at the bedside and were on ECLS support for less than 7 days prior to transplantation. Patient selection and mobilization practices remain critical components to optimization of outcomes. Patients with higher severity of illness and who are maintained on sedation and immobilized during ECLS support pre-transplant may be at risk for greater neuromuscular dysfunction and longer length of stay post-transplant, if in fact they remain appropriate transplant candidates at all (45).

Patients awaiting heart or lung transplantation, particularly those with end organ failure severe enough to warrant consideration of ECLS, are often supported with or considered for invasive mechanical ventilation. In the cardiac failure population, the need for invasive mechanical ventilation will depend on the degree of gas exchange impairment, often due to cardiogenic pulmonary edema or concomitant non-cardiogenic respiratory failure (e.g., ARDS, pneumonia, etc.). The pre-transplant use of invasive mechanical ventilation, irrespective of etiology and independent of the use of ECLS, has traditionally been associated with worse post-transplant outcomes than patients not requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, attributed at least in part to higher pre-transplant severity of illness and deconditioning associated with immobility (46–49). However, the use of invasive mechanical ventilation also inherently puts patients at risk for ventilator-associated complications, including ventilator-associated pneumonia, ventilator-associated lung injury, dynamic hyperinflation—with the potential to cause further hemodynamic compromise—and suboptimal delivery of nutrition and inhaled medications (50,51). In select patients who might otherwise require invasive mechanical ventilation, ECLS...

Figure 2 An awake, extubated strategy, combined with an upper-body configuration and compact circuitry, facilitates mobilization in patients with cardiopulmonary failure requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). Reproduced with permission from Abrams et al. (16).
Early mobilization in cardiopulmonary failure is not limited to the BTT population, although the benefit of such a strategy is less well defined in other cohorts (21,36,56). Patients receiving ECLS as a BTR may be candidates for an awake, mobile strategy, although they are inherently more severely critically ill than patients with acute cardiopulmonary failure managed without ECLS support who have been more traditional candidates for mobilization (13,36,56). Data for mobilization of patients with severe cardiac failure supported with ECLS as BTR is scarce. While the hemodynamic status and consequences of mobilization may differ, some useful information may be gleaned from the existing data on early mobilization of patients receiving ECLS as BTR from severe respiratory failure, particularly given the comparable demand on the respiratory system that occurs during mobilization. If early mobilization, including ambulation, can be achieved in a population with ECLS-supported severe, but potentially reversible, gas exchange impairment, there is reasonable promise for mobilization to be achievable in those with potentially reversible cardiac failure supported with ECLS. Whether the application of mobilization has a favorable impact on outcomes has yet to be elucidated.

Patients with severe respiratory failure requiring invasive mechanical ventilation are often managed with deep sedation and neuromuscular blockade to maintain adequate gas exchange. The support provided by ECLS may be sufficient to allow for removal of these medications, thereby promoting wakefulness and facilitating the potential removal of invasive mechanical ventilation. In a cohort of 61 patients with ARDS supported with ECLS, not only was consultation with an ICU physiotherapist associated with a significantly lower odds of death (OR 0.19, 95% CI: 0.04–0.98, P=0.048), but a sedation-agitation score consistent with being calm and cooperative correlated with higher intensity physiotherapy, which both reinforces the favorable impact of an awake ECLS strategy on participation in mobilization and highlights that such an approach may only be achievable in select patients.

Regarding the potential impact of early mobilization on discharge disposition, two single-center cohorts of patients with ECLS-supported cardiopulmonary failure who engaged in active physical therapy demonstrated high rates of discharge to home or acute rehabilitation (21,36). The lack of a comparison group in either study calls into question the impact of early mobilization itself on these outcomes.

Although there is an emphasis on upper-body cannulation...
to facilitate mobilization, this need not be the exclusive approach when considering early mobilization, which may have important implications for cardiac failure patients in whom femoral venoarterial ECLS is performed under emergent conditions. A cohort study of ECLS-supported patients with respiratory failure (n=35) demonstrated successful ambulation of 2 patients with femoral cannulae (36) and a larger single-center cohort of patients receiving ECLS as BTR (n=167) demonstrated successful mobilization out of bed in 13 patients with bifemoral cannulae without cannula-associated complications (21), highlighting the potential safety of mobilizing patients out of bed with either venous or arterial femoral cannulation. However, the relatively frequent concomitant use of femoral access for an intra-aortic balloon pump or a percutaneous VAD for ventricular unloading in cardiac failure patients adds additional complexity.

Figure 3 Multidisciplinary, stepwise approach to physical therapy in the medical intensive care unit. Reproduced with permission from Abrams et al. (36).

Despite the apparent safety, feasibility and success of active physical therapy in patients receiving ECLS as BTR at highly specialized, high volume ECLS centers, there are no studies prospectively assessing the effect of active physical therapy and early mobilization on this patient population compared to usual care. A prospective pilot study of ECLS patients randomized to early physical therapy or standard care aims to evaluate the impact of physical therapy on functional recovery (57).

Consideration of an awake, mobile strategy may potentially be extended to other forms of mechanical circulatory support beyond ECLS. Those supported with short-term surgical VADs should be prioritized for mobilization whenever feasible, as has been described in cohorts of patients supported with apical-axillary VADs and temporary, conventional surgical biventricular VADs (25,37). Percutaneous coaxial VADs may be less amenable to mobilization due to the relatively greater risk of device displacement during physical activity, though mobilization when such devices are placed through the axilla may be more feasible (58).

A universal theme throughout the existing literature of early mobilization for both cardiac and respiratory failure populations is the implementation of a multidisciplinary team approach to ensure safe and successful performance of mobility with patients receiving ECLS (Figure 3) (21,36,37,56). A coordinated effort by intensivists, surgeons, nurses, ECLS specialists, physical and occupational therapists and respiratory therapists—when appropriate—have been reported and are encouraged (21,36,56). The impact of a multidisciplinary early mobilization strategy on safety is highlighted by the low rate of complications in the largest reported cohort study to date—607 physical therapy session, <0.5% event rate, all minor (21). To further ensure optimal safety and outcomes, guidelines or protocols outlining the process of early mobilization should be created and followed, with well-delineated roles and responsibilities.
for each participant and discipline (36). Particular attention must be paid to cannula positioning and patient stability. In ECLS for cardiac failure, in which both venous and arterial cannulae are utilized, adequate securement of cannulae prior to mobilization is of the utmost importance. Cannulae for venous drainage are typically longer, whereas arterial reinfusion cannulae usually have a shorter length and may be at greater risk for dislodgement. It is crucial to be vigilant of any interruption of blood flow due to kinks or bends in cannulae, particularly in the context of activities involving hip flexion. The wire reinforcement component of cannulae may help guard against such occurrences.

Whereas gas exchange is often the parameter of greatest concern for respiratory failure patients being mobilized, hemodynamic instability is more likely to develop during mobilization of those with cardiac failure. Although not well described in the literature, patients with cardiac failure may require an increase in ECLS support, particularly blood flow, during active physical therapy to match their physiological needs, depending on the strenuousness of the therapy session and the patient’s physiological reserve. Additionally, there may be a role for the initiation or escalation of inotropic or vasopressor support if ECLS support alone is insufficient during physical therapy. However, if a patient requires escalation of inotropic or vasopressor support solely to achieve mobilization, then one must consider whether the theoretical benefit of mobilization outweighs the potential risks. If early mobilization is anticipated during ECLS support, then consideration should be made in choosing cannulae at the time of ECLS initiation that can achieve adequate blood flow to match the patient’s expected physiological needs.

Limitations and future directions

When considering the role of early mobilization in patients with cardiac failure supported with ECLS, it is important to recognize the limitations in the existing data, which by and large consist of small case series or cohort studies without comparator groups, owing to the limited experience with such an approach and the complexity of these patients. Future prospective randomized trials may help clarify the role of early mobilization in patients receiving ECLS (57). However, the specialized nature of this intervention and the greater potential risk profile in the cardiac failure population, compared to those with respiratory failure, may ultimately limit the broader applicability of such a strategy for those receiving ECLS for cardiac indications.

Conclusions

An awake and mobile ECLS strategy is safe and feasible in patients receiving extracorporeal support for advanced cardiac and respiratory failure. For patients awaiting transplantation, in whom adequate physical conditioning is a prerequisite for transplant candidacy, early mobilization should be a high priority. Future studies will help clarify the impact of early mobilization in the ECLS BTR population and whether the benefit seen in the non-ECLS-supported critically ill population can be expected in ECLS patients. Irrespective of the etiology and expected outcome, early mobilization should be performed by a multidisciplinary team with adequate experience and well-defined roles and responsibilities. When feasible, endotracheal extubation may further facilitate successful mobilization.
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