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Weaning from veno-arterial extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation: which strategy to use?
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Significant advances in extracorporeal technology have led to the more widespread use of veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA ECMO) for cardiac failure. After a few days of mechanical assistance, the device can sometimes be successfully removed if the patient has partially or fully recovered from the condition that required the use of ECMO. However, procedures for weaning from VA ECMO are not standardized. The percentage of patients with refractory cardiogenic shock who are successfully weaned from ECMO varies from 31% to 76%. The high death rate after successful weaning shows that many questions remain unresolved in this field. In this review, we will discuss the various factors influencing survival and a successful weaning from VA ECMO, in addition to weaning approaches proposed in the literature. Based on this information, we will propose a strategy to optimize the weaning process. It is especially important that the VA ECMO is not removed while the patient is still recovering from the conditions that necessitated the use of VA ECMO implantation. First, damaged organs need to recover before attempting weaning and the patient should be considered hemodynamically stable. Secondly, the etiology of cardio-circulatory dysfunction must be compatible with myocardial recovery. Finally, weaning trials using echocardiographic and hemodynamic assessments are indispensable to assess the behavior of the ventricles during increases in preload and to determine whether the VA ECMO can be safely removed.
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Introduction

Veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA ECMO) is used to support patients with refractory cardiogenic shock (1-10). The main indication for VA ECMO is medical cardiogenic shock, including that associated with acute myocardial infarction, fulminant myocarditis, acute exacerbation of severe chronic heart failure, drug intoxication, hypothermia and acute circulatory failure due to intractable arrhythmia (1-7). VA ECMO may also be used for patients with post-cardiotomy cardiac failure or after cardiac or pulmonary transplantation, or cardiac arrest requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation (1,4,8). VA ECMO may be used in some particular situations for patients with pulmonary embolism, sepsis associated cardiomyopathy and pulmonary hypertension (1-10).

VA ECMO is used as a bridge to myocardial recovery and cardiac transplantation. It may also be used as “a bridge to a bridge”, that is, before implantation of a ventricular assist device. No randomized controlled trials have compared VA ECMO to other mechanical support systems.
in patients with cardiogenic shock. However, several non-randomized studies suggest that the early use of ECMO offers a survival advantage in such circumstances (1-12).

After a few days of mechanical assistance, the device can sometimes be successfully removed if the patient has partially or fully recovered from the condition that required the use of ECMO. However, to date, only a few studies have reported strategies for weaning from VA ECMO (13-16). The percentage of patients with refractory cardiogenic shock who are successfully weaned from ECMO varies from 31% to 76%, depending on the underlying cause of cardiogenic shock and the definition of successful weaning (10-16). Indeed, the definition of successful weaning varies in the reported studies. Some consider weaning successful if the patient survives for longer than 48 hours after ECMO explantation (6-8). We defined patients successfully weaned from VA ECMO as those having ECMO removed and not requiring further mechanical support because of recurring cardiogenic shock over the following 30 days (13,16). This last definition seems to be the most clinically relevant.

Moreover, weaning does not mean survival, because 20% to 65% of patients weaned from VA ECMO support do not survive to hospital discharge. In one recent database study assessing 5,263 patients from Japan, more than 50% of patients weaned off ECMO died in hospital (12). Conversely, the high rate of ECMO-related complications may prompt removal of the device as soon as possible (7). This manuscript will discuss the various factors influencing survival and a successful weaning from VA ECMO, in addition to weaning approaches proposed in the existing literature. Based on this information, we will propose a strategy to optimize the weaning process.

Factors predicting death in weaned patients

As reported, successful weaning from ECMO does not mean patient survival. Several studies have assessed the predictors of death after ECMO weaning in particular situations or settings, mainly in post-cardiotomy shock and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (6,9-11). Markers associated with death after weaning include: door-to-VA ECMO implantation time (i.e., the elapsed time between cardiogenic shock and ECMO), cardiopulmonary resuscitation duration, poor renal and liver function, high lactate levels, diabetes, obesity and high SOFA score (6,9-11,17). These death-associated factors should be considered prior to consider ECMO.

Which factors can help to predict successful weaning from VA ECMO?

Few studies aimed at identifying criteria to predict which patients can be successfully weaned from ECMO, using the same methodology (Table 1) (13-15,18-20). They assessed prospectively and daily clinical, biological and/or echo parameters until death, weaning or bridge to long-term assist-device or heart transplantation. These studies were only observational and parameters were compared between weaned and non-weaned patients. The main limitations of these studies were their observational design and the number of the patients (2,3).

Clinical predictors: pulsed pressure

Among clinical parameters, neither age, sex, co-morbidities, or severity at the time of VA ECMO implantation were identified as predictors of successful weaning. Pulse pressure appeared to be the only clinical factor associated with weaning success (13,15,21). In our study assessing 51 patients, among the 38 patients undergoing a decrease of ECMO flow, mean pulse pressure was higher in the successfully weaned group 52±12 vs 39±15 mmHg (5) in others. Our result was confirmed by Pappalardo et al., who reported a mean pulse pressure of 59 [53–69] mmHg in the 49 successfully weaned patients. However, no clinically relevant predictive threshold could be identified (15).

Biomarkers

Luyt et al. examined whether biomarkers could predict cardiac recovery in 41 patients receiving VA ECMO (20). They examined circulating concentrations of the N-terminal fragment of the B-type natriuretic peptide, troponin Ic, the midregional fragment of the proatrial natriuretic peptide, proadrenomedullin and copeptin on days 1, 3, and 7 post-ECMO. There was no difference in the absolute values of these biomarkers or in their kinetics during the first week between patients who were weaned from ECMO and those who were not.

A few studies suggested that lactate and lactate clearance could help for ECMO weaning (22). Li et al. evaluated lactate at baseline, within 6 hours and 12 hours, in 123 patients under VA-ECMO for a refractory post-cardiotomy cardiogenic shock. Mean lactate concentration (OR 1.1; 95% CI, 1.0–1.2; P<0.001 in the 6-hour model; and OR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.1–1.4. P<0.001 in the 12-hour
model) and lactate clearance (OR 0.5; 95% CI, 0.3–0.9; P=0.001 in the 6-hour model and OR 0.1; 95% CI, 0.02–0.3; P=0.001 in the 12-hour model) were significantly associated with hospital mortality and unsuccessful weaning (22).

**Echo parameters**

In CS patients assisted by VA ECMO, echographic cardiac assessment is of paramount importance to set the degree of extracorporeal blood flow and concomitant supports such as mechanical ventilation, fluid loading and inotropic therapy (13,15,23,24). As comprehensive and dynamic echocardiographic measurements are mandatory to manage patients with CS patients assisted by ECMO, it seemed logical to assess the ability of echo parameters to predict successful weaning (23,24). Thus, we assessed the ability of echocardiographic variables to predict successful weaning in 51 patients receiving VA ECMO (13). High values of parameters assessing systolic LV function, such as aortic velocity-time integral, LVEF and lateral mitral annulus peak systolic velocity were associated with successful weaning. The Doppler parameters reflecting LV filling pressures (i.e., mitral E and TDI Ea velocities and E/Ea) did not differ significantly at each ECMO flow level and were not predictive of weaning outcome, suggesting that preload conditions were comparable for the two groups (13).

Precise RV assessment, except RV dilation, has rarely been investigated. Interestingly, in a recent study assessing 46 patients, successfully weaned patients had smaller RV dimensions, better RVEF, higher RV area change and magnitudes of RV strain (18). The value of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion and the severity of tricuspid regurgitation did not differ between the two groups. Of note, 3D-RV EF has the highest area under the curve (0.90, P<0.001) for weaning success, with a cutoff value at 24.6% in this series (18).

Thus, current data suggest that echocardiography is an important tool to determine both the recovery of LV and RV function (Figure 1) and the readiness of patients for weaning from ECMO support, whereas early measurements of cardiac biomarkers are not useful for identifying those who will recover (Table 1).

**Hemodynamic assessment during the weaning attempt**

Hemodynamic assessment may be useful during the weaning trial. In particular, the presence of volume overload can be determined from measurements of pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and central venous pressure. For patients to be considered for VA ECMO weaning, hemodynamic variables with the pump off should be as follows: cardiac index >2.4 L/min/m², mean blood pressure >60 mmHg, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure <18 mm Hg and central venous pressure <18 mmHg (25).

**Microcirculation parameters**

Akin et al. recently reported in a study on 13 patients that
the assessment of the sublingual microcirculation functional parameters, such as the total vessel density and perfused vessel density, may be of worth alongside weaning from VA ECMO (19). Patients who were not weaned from VA ECMO had clear deterioration in total small and large vessel densities. In contrast, in weaned patients, there was no or minimal alterations in microcirculation. A comparison of the microcirculatory parameters with echocardiographic parameters values showed good correlation. Such measurements may be attractive and complementary but are not available in many centers; their reproducibility is questionable and they are sensitive to artefacts and technical limitations.

**Appropriate conditions to attempt weaning from ECMO**

According to the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) guidelines, hepatic function should have recovered prior to any attempt to wean patients from ECMO, irrespective of the findings of cardiac assessment (16,26). But it does not seem necessary to wait for the recovery of renal function (26-29). In addition, it is unusual to attempt weaning in the first 72 hours after VA ECMO implantation, because damaged organs need time to recover. However, the duration of ECMO may be shorter in cases of drug intoxication and VA ECMO weaning can be attempted earlier. In most previous studies, the mean duration of support was at least of 3.3±2.9 days and was even 8.0±6.0 days in one study (13,28). This duration is also necessary to allow the recovery of a potentially “stunned” myocardium (16,27).

Other considerations include the etiology of cardio-circulatory dysfunction, which must be compatible with myocardial recovery (i.e., acute myocarditis, acute myocardial infarction, post-cardiotomy, drug intoxication, septic cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia-induced cardiomyopathy) (1-5,30). VA ECMO should not be removed if the patient has not recovered from the conditions which necessitated its implantation (high volume overload and high doses of inotropic agents) (16).

Furthermore, pulmonary oxygenation of the blood must not be compromised (3,16,26,31). The PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio should be more than 200 and the oxygen fraction delivered by the extracorporeal circuit should be 21% and that delivered by the ventilator circuits should be less than 60%. These measurements should be made 10 minutes after having decreased the ECMO flow and the sweep gas flow, that is, with an ECMO flow rate of less than 1 L/minute and a sweep gas flow rate of 1 L/minute for 10 minutes (31).
The patient should be considered hemodynamically stable, that is, they should have a baseline mean arterial pressure (MAP) of >60 mmHg in the absence or at low doses of vasoactive agents and a pulsatile arterial waveform maintained for at least 24 hours (13,15,16,26).

Utility of weaning trials

Weaning trials are essential to assess the behavior of ventricles during increases in preload and to determine whether the ECMO can be safely removed (14,16,32). Load conditions can be modified by varying the flow of the VA ECMO centrifugal pump. When ECMO flow is decreased, left ventricle (LV) preload is increased and afterload is decreased (32). During the decrease of the ECMO flow, the behaviour of the LV and the Frank-Starling reserve can be assessed (32).

We varied ECMO flow and examined hemodynamic variables of the failed LV in 22 patients receiving VA ECMO. The initial support was 4.0 L/min (IQR, 3.0–4.5 L/min) and could be decreased by a mean of 83% (32). With this approach, we found significant variations between patients who were successfully weaned and those who were not. The presence of a contractile reserve—a significant increase in LV ejection fraction (LVEF)—was associated with successful weaning (32).

This weaning trial is also very important to evaluate right ventricular (RV) function, as the ECMO circuit creates negative pressure and drains venous blood from the right atrium. In these conditions, it is difficult to determine RV function in maximal ECMO flow (13,14,32). A reduction in ECMO flow results in an increase in preload and enables RV function to be assessed (14,33). Thus, in VA ECMO patients, we analysed the occurrence of a right-ventricle secondary to a disorder of the other (33). In refractory CS patients assisted by VA ECMO, we reported an abnormal decrease of the LV dimensions in the presence of a RV dilatation induced by an increased preload in 33 patients. This interdependence had a strong negative prognostic value, but the study was limited by the small number of patients included and the fact that it came from a single center (33).

Cavarocchi et al. also assessed a weaning strategy with staged decrease of ECMO flow, volume loading and inotrope support over a period of 4 to 6 hours, in 21 patients using transoesophageal echocardiography (14). If a ventricle distension occurred, the trial was stopped and the patient was not weaned. The positive predictive value for ventricular recovery was 100% (95% CI, 73–100%).

Strategies for carrying out ECMO weaning trials

Two echocardiographic strategies for carrying out an ECMO weaning trial have been reported in the literature: the first strategy involves trans-thoracic echocardiography (TTE) (13) and the second involves hemodynamic transesophageal echocardiography (hTEE) (14).

In the TTE study, an ECMO weaning trial was undertaken daily if: (I) the patient was considered hemodynamically stable (i.e., baseline mean blood pressure of >60 mmHg in the absence or at low doses of vasoactive agents and pulsatile arterial waveform maintained for at least 24 h) and; (II) pulmonary oxygenation of the blood was not compromised (13). The ECMO flow was decreased to 66% of the initial flow rate for 10–15 min. It was then decreased to 33% for 10–15 min and then to a minimum of 1–1.5 L/min for another 10–15 min. If mean blood pressure dropped significantly and was constantly <60 mmHg during the trial, ECMO flow was returned to 100% of the initial flow and the trial was stopped. Doppler echocardiography was repeated at each ECMO flow rate. The removal of ECMO was considered if the patient had no end-stage cardiac disease, had partially or fully recovered from the initial cardiac dysfunction, tolerated the full weaning trial and had a LVEF of >20–25% and aortic VTI of >10 cm under minimal ECMO support.

In the hTEE study, the weaning trial consisted of four stages and involved hemodynamic transesophageal echocardiography (14). In the first stage, baseline LV and RV volume and function were assessed on full-flow ECMO support. During the second stage, ECMO flow was gradually decreased in increments of 0.5 L/min to half of the original flow rate (stage 2). Throughout the weaning protocol, LV and RV function and hemodynamic responses (i.e., heart rate and blood pressure) were monitored continuously to assess ventricular volume and function. If LV or RV distension or significant hypotension occurred, the weaning trial was stopped and the ECMO support was returned to full flow.

Stage 3 consisted of monitoring hemodynamic responses during both volume challenge with 5% albumin (10 mL/kg) and a reduction of ECMO flow to a minimum rate of 1.2 to 1.5 L/min. Volume loading was used to achieve an appropriate preload. During the last stage (stage 4), left and right ventricular function was assessed during the infusion of inodilators—dobutamine and/or milrinone—
for a period of 4 to 6 hours. These drugs were used to assess right ventricle function in patients with LV dysfunction under consideration for LVAD placement. Final removal of the ECMO was considered if both LV and RV functions recovered. If LV dysfunction persisted without RV failure, LVAD implantation was considered.

Of note, most studies suffered from a number of obvious limitations, including small sample size and only providing data from single centers (13-15,18). They also evaluated a mixed population of patients, who had received peripheral and/or central ECMO support, following medical, postcardiotomy, or post-transplantation cardiogenic shock (13-15,18). Some studies were retrospective, and the initiation of decannulation of VA-ECMO was protocol-driven and determined by attending surgeons, which cannot exclude residual confounding and selection bias in the comparison between success and failure (18).

**Aids to optimize weaning**

The ability of some medications to facilitate weaning from VA ECMO has been assessed by some (34,35). Levosimendan was assessed in 6 VA ECMO patients with the hypothesis that its remaining effects could favour the weaning from ECMO. This inodilator drug was infused in the patients 24 h before the planned weaning. In this small study, the use of levosimendan was associated with an increased rate of successful weaning (34). A retrospective, observational, single-centre study having assessed 240 VA ECMO patients after cardiovascular surgery reported similar results (34). The authors showed an association between levosimendan treatment and not only successful ECMO weaning [adjusted hazard ratio (HR) 0.41; 95% CI, 0.22–0.80; P=0.008], but also long-term mortality (adjusted HR 0.64; 95% CI, 0.42–0.98; P=0.04) (35). These strategies were reported for very small populations or in retrospective studies and must be confirmed in larger series.

**Proposed weaning strategy**

In light of all these data, we propose a strategy to optimize weaning from VA ECMO (Figure 2).

**Conclusions**

Weaning from VA ECMO remains a difficult decision, as unfortunately, it does not signify survival for the patient. We propose a strategy to optimize the weaning process. It is especially important that the ECMO is not removed while the patient is still recovering from the condition that necessitated the use of VA ECMO implantation. Damaged organs need time to recover before attempting weaning and the patient should be considered hemodynamically stable. The etiology of cardio-circulatory dysfunction must
be compatible with myocardial recovery. Weaning trials, alongside echocardiographic and hemodynamic assessments, are indispensable in the assessment of the behavior of the ventricles and when determining whether the ECMO can be removed.
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