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Infective endocarditis (IE) is one of the most challenging acute cardiovascular pathologies to manage. Embolic complications are common in IE, occurring in between 40–50% of all cases (1). Manifestations include stroke (embolic, hemorrhagic), peripheral emboli (splenic, renal), pulmonary embolus, coronary artery embolism and vertebral involvement (osteomyelitis). The majority of these occur prior to definitive diagnosis of IE. Unsurprisingly, delays in diagnosis are associated with increased risk of embolic events (2). Once treated with appropriately targeted anti-biotics reduces the risk of further embolic events dramatically (3).

Surgery in patients with IE is recommended in patients with uncontrolled sepsis despite antibiotics, such as those with aortic root abscess or on-going fever, recurrent embolization events despite appropriate antibiotics and severe valve regurgitation causing heart failure (5). However, in patients who have had embolic complications, careful assessment of risk and benefits is required. Surgery requiring cardiopulmonary bypass requires use of large doses of anti-coagulation, commonly heparin. This raises concerns regarding the hemorrhagic transformation of ischaemic stroke or worsening of bleeding in those with intra-cerebral hemorrhage.

Surgery after hemorrhagic stroke is associated with a mortality high risk. Garcia-Cabrera et al. (5) found the risk of new cerebral bleeding reduces as the time interval between the index hemorrhage and surgery increases. In this series, 50%, 33% and 20% patients had worsening intra-cerebral bleeding if surgery was undertaken at <2, 2–3 and >3 weeks respectively. Okita et al. (6) found in a series of patients with IE and intracranial haemorrhage, patients whose surgery was performed after 7 days had a lower mortality compared to those patients where surgery was undertaken within 7 days of diagnosis. Therefore, the interval between intracerebral bleed and cardiac surgery should be delayed for as long as possible. The European Society of Cardiology guidelines (4) recommend waiting one month after cerebral hemorrhage before surgery. In certain circumstances, a clinical scenario, such as worsening sepsis and hemodynamic instability, may necessitate earlier consideration of surgery. In our practice, we recommend serial magnetic resonance imaging to assess serial changes/reduction in the degree of intra-cerebral bleeding to help guiding timing.

The risk of surgery after ischaemic stroke depends on the size and severity of stroke. Garcia-Cabrera et al. (5) found patients with small ischaemic stroke had a higher risk of exacerbation of neurological symptoms and bleeding than those patients without stroke undergoing surgery.
The percentage of bleeding events and exacerbation of neurological symptoms in patients undergoing cardiac surgery was 11% in the first week, 10% in the second and 27% in the third week after surgery. In patients with moderate/severe ischaemic burden, the same group found a high mortality in patients undergoing surgery. However, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions as these were retrospective studies with selection bias, as only 28% of those with moderate/severe ischaemic stroke undergoing surgery. In patients with IE and neurological events, the European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommend (4) surgery can be performed without delay if indicated in patients who suffer from silent embolism or transient ischaemic attack (class 1, level of evidence B). In patients after stroke, they recommend surgery should be considered as long as cerebral hemorrhage has been excluded and the patient is not in coma.

Ultimately, the decision on whether to perform and the timing of cardiac surgery in patients with IE will depend on the evaluation of the likely outcomes of IE with and without surgery in the context of the severity of neurological deficit, extent and type of cerebral infarct, taking into account the patient’s wishes, co-morbidities and guideline recommendations.

Peripheral embolic events to the abdominal organs (liver, spleen or renal infarcts) or musculoskeletal involvement (discitis, osteomyelitis) in general do not alter the established, guideline recommended indications or timing of cardiac surgery in IE. However, the presence of abscess in these locations require careful evaluation. The preference for whether drainage occurs prior to or after cardiac surgery requires an individual patient decision depending on the urgency and type of cardiac surgery (mechanical or bioprosthetic prosthesis), hemodynamic status of the patient and mode of abscess drainage (percutaneous or surgical). Drainage may be preferred prior to cardiac surgery to avoid interruption of anti-coagulation post-operatively in those patients requiring mechanical valves or to prevent the risk of infection of a newly implanted valve; however, there is no data to support this.

Coronary embolic events are rare. In a series of 1210 patient with endocarditis, Roux et al. found only 26 (2.2%) patients had an acute coronary syndrome (7). The majority of cases (88%) were due to coronary embolism. There is no specific data examining the risk of cardiac surgery after acute coronary syndromes in IE. However, analysis of coronary bypass mortality after acute coronary syndromes has shown no significant difference in outcomes of surgery performed within 48 hours, compared to those performed after 48 hours (8). Therefore, in patients with an indication for surgery in IE, we believe there should be no significant delay in patients with embolic coronary events.

Although guidelines provide a framework for decision making in IE, each patient has unique circumstances, including age, co-morbidities, valve destruction/dysfunction, response to antibiotics and embolic complications. An individualized management plan taking account of these factors within the context guidelines and expertise of a multi-disciplinary team is required.
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