Best strategy for cerebral protection in arch surgery - antegrade selective cerebral perfusion and adequate hypothermia
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Aortic arch surgery remains a complex surgical operation that necessitates specific neuroprotection strategies. Various approaches, such as hypothermic circulatory arrest (HCA), retrograde cerebral perfusion, and antegrade selective cerebral perfusion (aSCP), have each enjoyed periods of popularity. However, while the overall surgical approach tend to favour HCA with aSCP, technical factors, such as perfusion site, perfusate temperature and flow rate and pH management, have not been conclusively elucidated. The optimal extent of hypothermia during circulatory arrest is also unclear, particularly with recent partiality for warmer temperatures. The following perspective details the preferred surgical practice for cerebral protection in aortic arch surgery, based on existing evidence.
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History of transverse arch surgery

Surgery for complex aortic pathologies, e.g., acute type A dissections and aneurysms involving the transverse arch, remains one of the technically most challenging endeavors in modern aortic surgery and requires the best neuroprotective strategy possible.

During the late 1950s Michael DeBakey, E. Stanley Crawford, and Denton A. Cooley in Houston developed the first surgical techniques to address aortic pathologies of the ascending, descending, and thoracoabdominal aorta, and the transverse arch (1,2). Neurologic complications were the reason that various innovative technical approaches to arch surgery developed in the decade thereafter were abandoned.

In 1964 Borst and colleagues in Munich closed an arterio-venous fistula—caused by a bullet from WWII that had found it’s way to the mediastinum injuring both the aorta and the pulmonary artery—and for the first time under deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) (3). A decade later, in 1975, the first series of routine aortic arch operations using profound hypothermic circulatory arrest—at an average lowest rectal temperature of 18 °C with an average circulatory arrest time of 43 minutes—was published by Randall Griepp and colleagues (4). This introduction of profound hypothermia—allowing for safe periods of circulatory arrest—by Borst and Griepp in the mid-seventies eventually paved the way for modern aortic arch surgery.

The limitations of hypothermia and HCA

Despite the protective effects of hypothermia the safety it provides is limited to a certain duration of circulatory arrest of likely no more than approximately 9 minutes at 30 °C, 14 minutes at 25 °C, 21 minutes at 20 °C, 31 minutes at 15 °C, and 45 minutes at 10 °C (5). Particularly in the elderly, durations of HCA exceeding 25 minutes have been suggested to be associated with transient neurologic dysfunctions (TND) and fine motor deficits (6,7). Fischer and colleagues suggested that after 30 minutes of HCA at 15 °C the regional oxygen saturation falls below 60% and
HCA durations exceeding 30 mins increase the risk for adverse outcome (8). Prolonged CPB during cooling and rewarming almost certainly causes increased endothelial permeability and edema formation that may contribute to organ malperfusion (9).

About 15% of the total cardiac output at rest is estimated to constitute normal physiological cerebral blood flow (CBF; approximately 0.55 cc/min/gram of cerebral tissue), with its autoregulation maintained over a broad spectrum of arterial pressures (mean 50-150 mmHg) to ensure optimal perfusion (10,11).

Cerebral autoregulation during cooling may only be maintained by an increase in vascular resistance down to temperatures of approximately 22-25 °C (12). Extracorporeal circulation may result in a ‘luxury’ perfusion of the brain once deeper temperatures are reached—with a risk of increased intracerebral pressures that ultimately may result in endothelial damage and cerebral edema (12).

The introduction of antegrade selective cerebral perfusion

The next milestone in the evolution of modern aortic arch surgery was successfully introduced into the clinical arena by Jean Bachet and Daniel Guilmet in Europe and by Teruhisa Kazui and colleagues in Japan in 1986: antegrade selective cerebral perfusion (SCP), in combination with hypothermia, significantly reduced the incidence of neurologic complications (13,14). This new neuroprotective strategy, ‘cold cerebroplegia’ by Bachet and Guilmet comprising of profound (6-12 °C) selective cerebral perfusion (SCP) via the carotid arteries during circulatory arrest in deep hypothermia (26 °C), allowed for longer operation times in more complex procedures with lower neurologic complications while avoiding profound hypothermic body core temperatures (<20 °C) and its complications (13,15). In the same year, the Stanford group published their experience with antegrade SCP (unilaterally via a 14F cannula directly into the innominate artery with occlusion of the left carotid and left subclavian arteries in six patients, unilaterally via the left carotid in one patient, and bilaterally in three patients via the innominate and carotid arteries) during low flow CPB (30 mL/kg/min) at 26-28 °C (16). During the procedure simultaneous distal aortic perfusion was achieved via a 20F cannula in the femoral artery that was connected via a Y-connector to the SCP line (16)—a safety measure also used by Kazui and Bachet, which has (unfortunately) been widely abandoned in arch aneurysm surgery for a while (15,17).

Current clinical results with antegrade SCP

Antegrade cerebral perfusion, aiming for near-physiologic conditions to ensure optimal cerebral protection, requires target cerebral blood flow (CBF), arterial blood pressure and hemodilution, and intracranial pressure to be carefully monitored—and adjusted for—during and after surgery.

Bilateral or unilateral antegrade SCP?

Cannulation techniques

Direct cannulation of atherosclerotic arteries—particularly the supraaortic branches—is associated with an increased risk of embolism (e.g., from manipulation, such as plaque mobilisation during cannulation or by jet flow, particularly by small bore cannulas inadvertently directed toward atherosclerotic plaques) (18,19). Commonly, antegrade SCP (aSCP) is establish via either direct cannulation or a prostatic graft anastomosed to (I) the right subclavian artery, the (II) innominate artery, (III) the right common carotid artery, which may be combined with left common carotid artery perfusion to achieve bilateral aSCP.

Antegrade SCP may also be established—regardless of the initially chosen cannulation site—via balloon occludable perfusion catheters (18,20-22). Routine cannulation of the axillary artery for CPB allows for unilateral SCP during distal arrest without the need of manipulating supraaortic vessels, and is easily ‘upgradable’ to bilateral SCP during HCA by the insertion of an additional balloon occludable perfusion catheter to the left carotid artery, which is usually combined with a occlusive ballon on the left subclavian to avoid steal (23). In 2003, Spielvogel et al. introduced a technique utilizing a prefabricated trifurcated graft allowing for atraumatic and direct SCP during aortic arch reconstruction (24).

Unilateral SCP appears to provide sufficient safety for the majority of patients without significant pathologies of the supraaortic and cerebral arteries. Most published series comparing unilateral vs. bilateral antegrade SCP, however, utilized unilateral perfusion only if backflow from the contralateral carotid artery proved sufficient collateralization, or if near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) monitoring excluded contralateral malperfusion. The initial unilateral approach therefore can only be considered ‘intention to treat’ and numbers as to how many patients were eventually perfused bilaterally are obscure (25). A
prospective randomized trial initiated by the Vascular Domain of the European Association of Cardiothoracic Surgery is currently underway.

Bilateral cerebral perfusion might be advantageous for patients with carotid artery stenosis, previous stroke or cerebrovascular anomalies (e.g., an incomplete Circle of Willis). Although variations in the configuration of the Circle of Willis are well known, perfusion deficiencies based on anomalous anatomy seldom occurs clinically, particularly if the circulatory arrest time is short (30-50 minutes) (26).

While preoperative CT angiography might allow for identification of high-risk patients, most HCA aortic reference centers rely on intraoperative monitoring modalities instead (e.g., transcranial Doppler or near infrared-spectroscopy, NIRS) (25,27). Extracranial collateral pathways may interestingly play an important role during unilateral SCP in patients with incomplete circle of Willis (27,28). Insufficient unilateral perfusion usually appears to be detectable by NIRS monitoring, which is therefore routinely utilized at our institution to ensure sufficient cerebral perfusion during bilateral antegrade SCP. Leshnower and colleagues reported on a clinical series of over 400 aortic procedures involving the aortic arch (344 hemiarch and 68 total arch replacements), utilizing unilateral SCP with a perfusate temperature of 16 °C and an average distal arrest of 30±15 minutes at 26 °C, and showed an overall mortality of 7%, and transient (TND) and permanent (PND) neurological deficits of 5.1% and 3.6%, respectively (29).

More recently, Urbanski and colleagues presented their outstanding results with unilateral SCP at a perfusate temperature of 28 °C and moderate-to-mild lower body circulatory arrest (LBCA) of up to 31.5 °C (range, 26.0-35.0 °C) for an average duration of 18 minutes in hemiarch repairs (n=270) and 29 minutes for total arch replacements (n=77), achieving a remarkably low 30-day mortality of 0.9% (overall mortality of 1.2%), TND of 2.3%, PND of 0.9% and no occurrence of paraplegia (30). Postoperatively five out of 347 patients required dialysis and only one patient suffered from bowel ischemia (30).

While Urbanski and colleagues conclude from their report that ‘systemic mild-to-moderate hypothermia that is adapted to the duration of circulatory arrest is a simple, safe, and effective method of organ protection and can be recommended in routine aortic arch surgery with circulatory arrest and cerebral perfusion’—we do believe that a word of caution is of outmost importance and the surgeon’s adequate judgement is crucial: when in doubt always opt for a lower temperature to allow for a broader safety margin.

Despite the promising results with the use of unilateral antegrade SCP (29,31), many surgeons aim for bilateral SCP to ensure bihemispherical perfusion (18,25,32). Malvindi and colleagues in their literature review—encompassing more than 3,500 patients undergoing aortic surgery with either unilateral (n=599) or bilateral (n=2,949) cerebral perfusion—concluded that ‘while both unilateral and bilateral antegrade SCP are acceptable, once the ASCP time is expected to rise over 40-50 min, bilateral cerebral perfusion is the technique that is best documented to be safe’ (26).

At our institution bilateral cerebral perfusion is routinely utilized. Under direct vision it usually requires only an additional 30 seconds to position a balloon-occlutable perfusion catheter, connected via a Y-connector to the SCP line, to the left carotid artery (and a second occlusive balloon to the left subclavian). We believe in the additional safety that ‘physiologic’ bilateral perfusion may provide whenever prolonged durations (>40-50 mins) of circulatory arrest cannot be prevented with certainty, even (or particularly) in atherosclerotic patients.

Controversially, a contemporary meta-analysis by Angeloni of over 5,400 patients suggested equal overall mortality and PND rates for unilateral and bilateral SCP. The observed TND rates, however, appeared slightly higher in the bilateral SCP group, possibly resulting from additional manipulation of atherosclerotic vessels in bilateral cannulation (33), underscoring that bilateral cannulation is to be executed with caution in patients with atherosclerotic disease.

Management of aSCP: pressure, flow and pH during antegrade SCP

Depending on the method of cannulation, our patients are fitted with two radial lines for bilateral pressure monitoring and an additional femoral artery catheter to monitor distal perfusion pressure. Like most aortic reference centers using antegrade SCP, we perfuse the brain at a rate of 8-12 cc/min/kg of body weight (0.6 cc/min/g of cerebral tissue) and a perfusion pressure of 40-60 mmHg at temperatures between 23 and 28 °C (10). Haldenwang comparing various flow rates during SCP demonstrated that high-flow SCP significantly increases CBF and intracranial pressure (ICP), resulting in cerebral edema with no cerebral metabolic benefit (34). Avoidance of hemodilution during SCP may also prevent higher CBF and associated cerebral injury (35). The strategy of pH-management for SCP remains controversial: alpha-
Sufficent neuro- and visceral protection has to be a conditio-sine-qua-non for elective arch surgery

There does not seem to be a clinically significant difference in neuroprotection between profound and deep HCA; in 2007 the Bologna group published their results of 305 aortic arch operations utilizing profound (<22 °C) vs. deep hypothermia (up to 26 °C) during an average antegrade SCP time of up to 60 minutes (39) with no differences between both groups with regard to 30-day mortality (12.7% vs. 13.8%), PND (3.1% vs. 1.7%; P=0.72), and TND (7.9% vs. 8.6%) (39).

More recently, the Emory group compared the outcome after elective and emergent hemiarch replacements with SCP for 25 minutes at deep and moderate temperatures (24.3 vs. 28.6 °C) (41), with propensity matched analysis revealing a significantly reduced rate of PND (2.5% vs. 7.2%) in the moderate group. While there were no significant differences in bleeding, TND, or renal failure, propensity matching did not consider complexity of arch repair and previous neurologic status—risk factors well known to influence outcome after arch surgery (41).

Antegrade vs. retrograde cerebral perfusion (RCP)

In 1990, Ueda et al. were the first to introduce retrograde cerebral perfusion (RCP) in combination with deep HCA to provide retrograde perfusion and additional cooling of the central nervous system (CNS) via the valveless cerebral venous system, potentially offering the additional benefit of back-flushing of air emboli and debris from the cerebral circulation (42). Although RCP was routinely used by many centers shortly after its clinical introduction, the neuroprotective effect of this method proved limited and most likely related to sufficient continuous cooling rather than true nutritive flow (18,43). RCP has even been suspected to counteract antegrade cerebral perfusion from recruitment of arterial collaterals in patients with vascular anomalies (e.g., with incomplete Circle of Willis), and patients with significant carotid stenosis (28). The efficacy of RCP might also be impaired by venous valves in the human internal jugular veins necessitating higher
perfusion pressures—demonstrated by Hagl and colleagues to inevitably result in significantly reduced neurological outcome, likely due to increased ICP (44).

Therefore, in comparison with antegrade perfusion techniques the neuroprotective potential of RCP is certainly very limited, despite the effective de-airing of the cerebral perfusion at the end of the procedure, and this approach has been abandoned by most aortic centers (32).

**Adjunctive distal aortic perfusion**

The concept of distal perfusion via the femoral artery to avoid lower body ischemia during aortic arch surgery was already used by DeBakey as early as 1957 (45), and has proven since to be superior to the 'clamp-and-sew' technique for extended thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm surgery (46-48). Recently, distal perfusion via the femoral artery has also been successfully used in selective cases during total aortic arch replacement to sustain spinal cord and visceral integrity at moderate hypothermia. In 2006, Della Corte et al. used thoracoabdominal perfusion (TAP) during aortic arch surgery in 80 patients, via descending endoluminal cannulation (n=62) or femoral artery cannulation (n=18), and compared the postoperative outcome to 122 patients with aortic arch operations without additional distal perfusion (49). Despite the fact that overall mortality and PND rates did not significantly differ between both groups, a significantly lower incidence of respiratory (18.2% vs. 30.5%) and renal (6.5% vs. 18.6%) failure with shorter durations of mechanical ventilation (18 vs. 58 h), and ICU and hospital stay (P=0.02) was seen in the TAP group (49). In 2007, Nappi et al. published their small series utilizing an innovative technique for antegrade descending (distal) aortic perfusion (via a cuffed endotracheal tube for descending aortic perfusion) with no permanent neurologic complications in 12 patients at a body core temperature of 26 °C (50). Another technical solution routinely utilized at our institution when prolonged arch reconstructions are required and deep core temperatures cannot be established is the use of grafts with a prefabricated sidearm allowing for distal perfusion once the distal aortic anastomosis has been finalized (31,51).

Aoki et al. successfully used additional perfusion of the lower body via a balloon occlusion catheter during aortic arch repair in an elderly patient at a moderate body core temperature of 28 °C for 60 minutes (52). Touati and colleagues even performed aortic arch repair at normothermic conditions with unilateral SCP, intermittent myocardial perfusion, and distal femoral perfusion in a small number of patients (53). However, with regard to the above-mentioned clinical and experimental findings it must be clear to the surgeon utilizing this strategy at normothermia that there is no room for error (40,45,54,55).

Additional distal perfusion during aortic arch surgery has been shown to reduce the incidence of end-organ complications, particularly in more extensive and time-consuming procedures (49,50).

Distal perfusion may therefore be a bail-out tool for aortic arch operations started at moderate to mild body core temperatures that become more complex than expected. The risk of retrograde distal perfusion via the femoral artery (embolism by air or debris) seems to be low, as long as retrograde perfusion is used only during distal cross clamping or balloon catheter occlusion (52,53,56). Caution, however, is mandated in the majority of acute type A aortic dissections (usually DeBakey type I) that extend into the thoracoabdominal aorta, as retrograde perfusion might increase late mortality.

**Conclusions and future perspective of aSCP**

Using SCP and deep HCA, experienced centers have achieved excellent results that represent the benchmark against which future concepts of organ protection will have to surmount (21,57). However, the limitations of moderate hypothermia during aortic arch surgery have been clearly reported (40), and this paradigm shift towards the routine use of moderate-to-mild (28-35 °C) core temperatures, driven by the excellent results of expert aortic surgeons, is a double-edged sword. Guidelines for the safe use of antegrade SCP and lower body circulatory arrest with regard to temperature management are not available due to the lack of expert consensus. Antegrade SCP utilizing a 'complexity adopted temperature management' during circulatory arrest, possibly combined with distal aortic perfusion as an effective adjunct in patients with degenerative disease (58), should currently be considered the state-of-the-art for transverse arch surgery.
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