Lower limb malperfusion in type B aortic dissection: a systematic review
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Background: Lower limb malperfusion (LLM) syndrome occurs in up to 40% of complicated type B aortic dissections (TBAD) and in up to 71% of TBAD with malperfusion syndrome. This syndrome is associated with higher 30-day mortality. The aim of this systematic review was to provide clinical and procedural data of patients with LLM syndrome secondary to TBAD.

Methods: The PubMed database was systematically searched from January 2000 to June 2014 for English-language publications reporting on demographic data of patients with LLM secondary to TBAD.

Results: A total of 29 papers were included (10 original articles and 19 case reports), reporting on a total of 138 patients (mean age =58±12 years; male =87%). Lower limb complications developed in acute and chronic TBAD in 134 (97%) and 4 (3%) cases, respectively. LLM presented with acute limb ischemia in 120 (87%) patients. Bilateral clinical presentation occurred in 56% (40/72) of cases. LLM was the only clinically detected malperfusion in 52% of cases (44/84). In 40% (35/84) and 25% (21/84) of cases, LLM was clinically associated with renal and visceral malperfusion, respectively. Radiological imaging showed renal, celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery involvement in 53% (47/88), 31% (27/88) and 34% (30/88) of cases, respectively. Medical, surgical and endovascular treatments were performed in 22 (16%), 51 (37%) and 65 (47%) patients, respectively. Thirty-day morbidity was 31% (13/42) and 46% (6/13) following surgical and endovascular treatment, respectively. Thirty-day mortality was 14% (5/36) and 8% (2/26) following surgical and endovascular treatment, respectively.

Conclusions: LLM syndrome secondary to TBAD usually developed during the acute phase and, in most cases, presented with acute limb ischemia. Bilateral clinical presentation occurred in more than half of cases. Renal and visceral malperfusion were frequently associated with lower limb flow reduction but LLM was the only clinically detected malperfusion in more than half of patients. Surgical fenestration was burdened with significant complication rates and 30-day mortality. Endovascular procedures showed lower mortality but complication rates remained high.
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Introduction

Type B aortic dissection (TBAD) is a life-threatening disease and its management remains challenging. TBAD is classified as acute (≤14 days) or chronic (>14 days) (1) according to the time taken for onset of symptoms. The development of complications, such as rupture, aneurysmatic dilatation or malperfusion syndrome, necessitates surgical or endovascular treatment.
Malperfusion complications may involve renal, visceral, spinal cord and lower limb arterial circulations, inducing occlusion or thrombosis in branch arteries at high risk of end-organ ischemia. Lower limb malperfusion (LLM) is defined as abnormal pulse upon examination in conjunction with leg pain, pallor, paresthesia or paralysis (2). Recent case series (2-11) have reported development of LLM in 5-12% of type B dissections, in up to 40% of complicated TBAD and in up to 71% of dissections with malperfusion syndrome. The presence of LLM syndrome is statistically associated with higher in-hospital mortality (12).

The primary goal of treatment is to restore perfusion of the lower limbs as soon as possible. Extra-anatomic bypass grafting (femoro-femoral, axillo-femoral or axillo-bifemoral) or surgical fenestration represent the traditional treatment approaches. Since the 1990s, less invasive treatments employing an endovascular approach have been proposed. Occlusion of proximal entry tear with stentgraft and endovascular fenestration are two attractive options. Few studies have specifically analyzed lower limb ischemic complication because it is usually not discriminated from ischemia of abdominal visceral districts.

The aim of this systematic review is to provide clinical and procedural data of patients with acute or chronic TBAD complicated by LLM or acute limb ischemia.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

The PubMed database was systematically searched from 1st January 2000 to 13th June 2014 for English-language publications reporting on TBAD complicated by acute limb ischemia or LLM. Search terms were “acute limb ischemia and aortic dissection” or “limb malperfusion and aortic dissection”. The reference lists of retrieved articles were also scanned to further identify potentially relevant studies. The search method and results have been reported according to the PRISMA statement (13).

**Study selection**

Inclusion criteria for study selection were the following: (I)
reporting on LLM and/or lower limb ischemia secondary to TBAD; (II) reporting on demographics of patients with LLM and/or lower limb ischemia. Studies that did not provide demographic data for patients were not included. Case reports were eligible for inclusion, while review and commentary articles were excluded. Articles reporting exclusively on type A, ascending, proximal dissection or isolated infrarenal abdominal aortic disease were also excluded.

Two reviewers (C.B.M. and E.G.) independently screened the title and abstract of records identified in the search. Full text publications were sought and retrieved for studies that the authors agreed to be potentially relevant. Disagreements about final study inclusion were resolved by consensus (i.e., discussion between reviewers).

Data extraction

Data were extracted for the following: patient demographics (age, gender), etiology of dissection disease (hypertensive, traumatic, iatrogenic), classification of TBAD (acute: ≤14 days; chronic: >14 days), symptoms and signs (malperfusion or acute limb ischemia; chest, back, abdominal or limb pain; weakness, numbness, paralysis or paresthesia of lower extremity; peripheral pulse deficit), clinical and radiological evidence of associated renal or mesenteric hypoperfusion, type of treatment (medical, surgical, endovascular), and post-operative outcomes (technical success, 30-day clinical success, morbidity, reintervention and mortality).

Treatment type was defined as the procedure performed to treat LLM and, for this reason, hybrid treatments (e.g., aortic stentgraft associated with femoro-femoral bypass graft) were classified as surgical procedures. Technical success was defined as a procedure completed without any intraoperative complication (e.g., thrombosis of bypass graft or accidental coverage of visceral vessel by stentgraft). Clinical success was defined as absence of clinical symptoms and signs of preoperative malperfusion/ischemia at discharge. Post-operative morbidity was defined as new onset of any procedure-related complication. Thirty-day outcomes refer to either the first 30 postoperative days or period of hospitalization. Data were independently extracted by two vascular surgeons (C.B.M. and E.G.) and any discrepancies were clarified through consensus.

Results

Search results

The study selection process is summarized in Figure 1. In total, 29 articles met the criteria for inclusion and their characteristics are displayed in Table 2. Of these, 10 (34%) were original articles and 19 (66%) case reports. Two original articles (26,42) only included patients with LLM syndrome secondary to TBAD. The other eight original articles (15,17,21,28,30,33,36,40) did not report exclusively on LLM syndrome, but separate data was provided for patients with LLM.

Incidence

LLM occurred in 5.7–30% of all TBAD cases, representing 19–48% of all complicated type B dissections and 50–73% of all malperfusion complications (Table 2). Among patients
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Publication type</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male [%]</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Incidence of LLM in TBAD</th>
<th>Incidence of LLM in complicated TBAD</th>
<th>Incidence of LLM in TBAD with malperfusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joo (14)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panneton (15)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1979-1999</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>69 [51-81]</td>
<td>4 [80]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lookstein (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (18)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frahm (19)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggebrecht (20)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleck (22)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>Iatrogenic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzen (23)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan (24)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamaguchi (25)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) <strong>(IRAD data)</strong></td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1996-2002</td>
<td>Retrospective and prospective</td>
<td>59±14</td>
<td>19 [73]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>5.7% (26/458)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahira (27)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>73, 47</td>
<td>2 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan (29)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujita (31)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyer (32)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1 [100]</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimarchi (33)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1988-2002</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>60 [50-82]</td>
<td>8 [80]</td>
<td>1 iatrogenic (AAA)</td>
<td>17.0% (10/60)</td>
<td>48% (10/21)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2 (continued)*
with previous abdominal aortic graft replacement, LLM or ischemic lower limb complications occurred in 75% of patients with TBAD (40).

**Patient and etiology**

There were a total of 138 patients in the included studies (with an overlap of 18 patients). This included 118 (86%) patients from original articles and 20 (14%) from case reports. The mean age of patients was 58±12 years, and 120 (87%) were male. The etiology of TBAD was rarely reported. Hypertension, trauma and iatrogenic causes were the reported etiologies in two, two and one case respectively (22,23,30). TBAD and LLM syndrome were also observed in association with untreated abdominal aortic aneurysm (29) or in patients treated with surgical graft or endovascular exclusion (32-34).

**Clinical presentation and symptoms**

Clinical presentation and symptoms are reported in Table 3. LLM was described as a complication of acute or chronic TBAD in 134 (97%) and 4 (3%) cases, respectively. In 120 (87%) cases, LLM presented as acute limb ischemia. The other 18 (13%) patients presented with mild lower limb hypoperfusion. Bilateral clinical presentation occurred in 56% (40/72) of cases. Pulse deficit was present in all reported cases. Chest, back and abdominal pain were present in 42% (31/73), 45% (33/73) and 13% (6/47) of cases, respectively. Pain, weakness, paresthesia, numbness and paralysis occurred in 41% (25/61), 14% (5/35), 18% (7/38), 19% (5/26) and 13% (6/48) of patients, respectively.

**Associated malperfections**

Clinical and radiological malperfections are reported in Table 4. In 52% (44/84) of cases, LLM was the only detected malperfusion. LLM was clinically associated with renal and visceral malperfusion in 40% (35/84) and 25% (21/84) of patients, respectively. According to radiological imaging, renal, celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery involvement was reported in 53% (47/88), 31% (27/88) and 34% (30/88) of cases, respectively.
Table 3 Clinical features of lower limb malperfusion secondary to type B aortic dissection in included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Acute TBAD</th>
<th>Chronic TBAD</th>
<th>Rupture Bilateral presentation</th>
<th>LLM</th>
<th>Acute limb ischemia</th>
<th>Chest pain</th>
<th>Back pain</th>
<th>Abdominal pain</th>
<th>Limb pain</th>
<th>Limb weakness</th>
<th>Limb paresis</th>
<th>Limb numbness</th>
<th>Limb paralysis</th>
<th>Pulse deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joo (14)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panneton (15)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lookstein (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauterbach (17)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (18)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frahm (19)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggebrecht (20)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vedantham (21)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleck (22)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzen (23)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan (24)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamaguchi (25)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (IRAD data)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (local series)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahira (27)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiiya (28)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan 2008 (29)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeven (30)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Acute TBAD</th>
<th>Chronic TBAD</th>
<th>Rupture</th>
<th>Bilateral presentation</th>
<th>LLM</th>
<th>Acute limb ischemia</th>
<th>Chest pain</th>
<th>Back pain</th>
<th>Abdominal pain</th>
<th>Limb pain</th>
<th>Limb weakness</th>
<th>Limb paresis</th>
<th>Limb numbness</th>
<th>Limb paralysis</th>
<th>Pulse deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fujita (31)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyer (32)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimarchi (33)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umeda (34)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulev (35)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syroereas (36)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (37)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narita (38)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo (39)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito (40)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim (41)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfield (42)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, overlap of 18 patients. LLM, lower limb malperfusion; TBAD, type B aortic dissection; n, number of patients; NS, not specified.
Table 4 | Extent of dissection, clinical malperfusion, radiological involvement and treatment approach in included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Proximal origin of TBAD</th>
<th>Distal end of TBAD</th>
<th>Clinical malperfusion</th>
<th>Radiological involvement</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joo (14)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Descending arch</td>
<td>Just proximal to renal arteries</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panneton (15)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 1 2 NS NS NS 0 5 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lookstein (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauterbach (17)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 3 5 5 4 4 3 6 2</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (18)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distal arch</td>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frahm (19)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Right common and external iliac artery</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggebrecht (20)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Thoracic aorta</td>
<td>Iliac arteries</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vedantham (21)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 4 2 5 4 4 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleck (22)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Left subclavian artery</td>
<td>Iliac arteries</td>
<td>0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzen (23)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Just distal to left subclavian artery</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan (24)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Abdominal infrarenal aorta</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamaguchi (25)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Proximal descending aorta</td>
<td>Right common iliac artery</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS 0 5 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS NS NS NS NS NS NS 13</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS NS NS 22 15 15 2</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahira (27)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS, right common iliac artery</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shilya (28)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 0 3 1 0 0 2 2 2</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan (29)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
<td>Infrarenal aorta</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoye (30)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 1 1 2 0 2 0 0 3</td>
<td>Renal CT SMA</td>
<td>Medical Surgical Endovascular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Proximal origin of TBAD</th>
<th>Distal end of TBAD</th>
<th>Clinical malperfusion</th>
<th>Radiological involvement</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fujita (31)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Descending aorta</td>
<td>Right common iliac and left internal iliac arteries</td>
<td>0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyer (32)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Just distal to left subclavian artery</td>
<td>Upper half of aortic stentgraft</td>
<td>0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimarchi (33)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7 4 5 NS NS NS 0 10 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umeda (34)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Proximal descending aorta</td>
<td>Proximal anastomosis site of AAA repair</td>
<td>0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulev (35)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Intimal flap at distal end of implanted endograft</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sfyroereas (36)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6 1 6 6 1 1 0 2 aortic stentgraft + fem-fem BP</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (37)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narita (38)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distal aortic arch</td>
<td>Bilateral external iliac arteries</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo (39)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
<td>Bilateral iliac arteries</td>
<td>1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito (40)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 2 1 0 0 0 0 3 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim (41)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Distal aortic arch</td>
<td>External iliac artery</td>
<td>0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfield (42)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 1 5 NS NS NS 0 8 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35/84 (40%) 21/84 (25%) 44/84 (52%) 47/88 (53%) 27/88 (31%) 30/88 (34%) 22/138 (16%) 51/138 (37%) 65/138 (47%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, overlap of 18 patients; ^, not specified if SMA or CT involvement; §, previous surgical embolectomy for misdiagnosis; ?, unclear association with dissection. LLM, lower limb malperfusion; TBAD, type B aortic dissection; CT, celiac trunk; SMA, superior mesenteric artery; fem-fem BP, femoral-femoral bypass; AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; TEVAR, thoracic endovascular aortic repair; n, number of patients.
Treatment

Medical, surgical and endovascular treatments were performed in 22 (16%), 51 (37%) and 65 (47%) patients, respectively (Tables 5-7). In the surgical group, open fenestration, extra-anatomic bypass and aortic replacement were performed in 50% (23/46), 46% (21/46) and 4% (2/46) of cases, respectively. In the endovascular group, percutaneous fenestration was performed in 54% (31/57) and aortic and/or iliac stenting/stent-grafting in 95% (54/57) of cases, thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) in 32% (18/57), aortic stenting in 37% (21/57) and iliac stenting in 42% (24/57).

Perioperative results

Medical therapy was the treatment of choice in 22 patients (16%). Thirty-day clinical success was 100% (6/6) with no 30-day morbidity and mortality (0/6).

In TBAD patients treated by a surgical approach, technical success occurred in 75% of cases (15/20) (five after surgical fenestration and five after extra-anatomic bypass). In addition, 30-day morbidity was 31% (13/42). Systemic complications included five cases of acute renal failure, three cases of myocardial infarction, two cases of paraplegia, and one case each of paraparesis, chest infection, colectomy and amputation above the knee. And amputation above the knee. Thirty-day mortality was 14% (five cases; four after fenestration and one after axillo-bifemoral bypass with associated TEVAR).

Technical success of endovascular treatment was 88% (15/17); procedure failure occurred in two cases (one case of asymptomatic thrombosis of renal artery stent and one case of endovascular fenestration with clinical worsening of the patient). Thirty-day clinical failure developed in 11 cases (33%), of which 6 (18%) were managed with TEVAR. Thirty-day morbidity was 46% (n=6), 3 (23%) of which occurred after TEVAR. Systemic complications included three cases of compartment syndrome with acute renal failure and transient hemodialysis, two cases of gastrointestinal ischemia, and one case each of liver ischemia, isolated acute renal failure and recurrent implanted stent collapse. Thirty-day mortality was 8% (2/26).

Discussion

Aortic dissection is a catastrophic event affecting the aorta and producing morphological and hemodynamic subversion in thoracic and abdominal arterial districts. TBAD represents about 38% of all aortic dissections (43). Complications occur in 20-45% of acute TBAD cases (44,45) and, in such instances, there is a dramatic increase in associated mortality (45,46). LLM occurs in 28-56% (2,47) of acute TBAD cases and, unlike renal or visceral malperfusion, is associated with poor 30-day outcomes (5).

In our systematic review, we included 29 publications reporting on a total of 138 patients with TBAD and LLM. LLM usually developed during the sixth and seventh decades of life, most frequently affected male patients, with hypertension and typically presented as acute limb ischemia (almost 90% of cases). In a minority of cases, reduced blood flow was associated with pulse deficit without leg threatening disease. In these cases, occurring generally in chronic phase, dissection causes a true lumen diameter decrease that does not result in critical reduction of arterial flow. Another possible mechanism of LLM is the movement of the intimal flap with postural changes. Nakahira et al. (27) reported two cases of atypical leg LLM arising due to compression of the true lumen and expansion of the false lumen upon standing.

LLM symptoms vary greatly according to the morphology of TBAD. This condition may actually present more frequently with chest or back pain than limb pain, as limb numbness, weakness or paresthesia only occurred in 15-20% of cases. Complete limb paralysis is not rare and can be caused either by severe acute limb or spinal cord ischemia.

Bilateral clinical presentation is a frequent event (56%). Flow reduction in both lower limbs can be induced by two different mechanisms: collapse of true aortic lumen (4,24,29,35) or bilateral iliac obstruction (31). Therefore, it is not only the iliac districts that should be carefully evaluated.

Isolated LLM was reported in more than 50% of patients included in the present review. However, clinical malperfusion syndrome involving other arterial districts is frequent, with associated renal malperfusion (40%) being more common than visceral (25%). Radiological involvement of the renal or visceral arteries is higher, since not all dissections lead to end-organ ischemia. Renal and visceral arteries were involved in a half and third of cases, respectively. As reported in the literature, radiological involvement of aortic branches is more common in patients with acute limb ischemia (P=0.004), and both mesenteric and renal malperfusion are significantly associated with acute limb ischemia (P=0.002 and P=0.048, respectively) (26).

TBAD and its related complications are routinely
### Table 5 Thirty-day outcomes of patients treated with medical therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>30-day clinical success</th>
<th>30-day morbidity</th>
<th>30-day redo</th>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joo (14)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauterbach (17)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (18)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1§</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) (IRAD data)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) (local series)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiiya (28)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6/6 (100%)</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, overlap of 18 patients; §, previous surgical embolectomy for misdiagnosis. NS, not specified; n, number of patients.

### Table 6 Thirty-day outcomes of patients treated with surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Surgical fenestration</th>
<th>Extra-anatomic bypass</th>
<th>Technical success</th>
<th>30-day clinical success</th>
<th>30-day morbidity</th>
<th>30-day redo</th>
<th>Type of redo</th>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panneton (15)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 NS</td>
<td>2 (1 ARF + dialysis, 1 MI)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauterbach (17)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS 5</td>
<td>1 ARF + permanent dialysis, colectomy, AKA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frahm (19)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 contralatera lower limb ischemia</td>
<td>After 3 days, 1 bilateral acute limb ischemia for new left common iliac dissection: balloon-expandable stent deployment (Jostent peripheral, Jomed, Switzerland) in left iliac axis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) (IRAD data)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) (local series)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 endovascular + fem-fem BP</td>
<td>NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahira (27)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>0 NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiiya (28)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan (29)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 0</td>
<td>NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6 (continued)*
of spontaneous resolution of lower limb symptoms. In these patients, the modification of intimal flap positioning during the acute phase could be the cause of apparent regression of the clinical syndrome.

Open surgical approach of LLM includes two different strategies: surgical fenestration and extra-anatomic bypass grafting. The former is usually performed in case of concomitant renal or mesenteric malperfusion and consists of supra-celiac or supra-renal aortic clamping and wide excision to the aortic flap in order to equalize the pressure between the true and false lumen. The latter is preferred in high-risk patients with isolated LLM. In these patients, a femoro-femoral sovra-pubic (monolateral LLM) or axillo-bifemoral (bilateral LLM) bypass graft is usually performed. Extra-anatomic bypass can be associated with endovascular deployment of aortic or iliac stent/stentgraft into the true lumen to promote false lumen collapse. In the present review, surgical fenestration and extra-anatomic bypass were reported in a similar proportion of patients. The perioperative mortality of surgical fenestration was higher than that of extra-anatomic bypass (17% vs. 5%) but morbidity was similar (30.4% vs. 31.6%). Complications

### Table 6 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Surgical fenestration</th>
<th>Extra-anatomic bypass</th>
<th>Technical success</th>
<th>30-day clinical success</th>
<th>30-day morbidity</th>
<th>30-day redo</th>
<th>Type of redo</th>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trimarchi (33)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (2 IMA, 1 paraplegia, 1 paraparesis)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umeda (34)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sfyroeras (36)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>aortic stentgraft + fem-fem BP</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 paraplegia</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (37)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo (39)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito (40)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (1 TEVAR + ax-bif BP)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (2 ARF)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim (41)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfield (42)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (2 TEVAR + fem-fem BP)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (1 MOF, 1 ARF + chest infection)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall – 51 | 25/46 (54%) | 21/46 (46%) | 13/13 (100%) | 27/37 (73%) | 13/42 (31%) | 2/12 (17%) | 5/36 (14%) |

*, overlap of 18 patients. NS, not specified; n, number of patients; ARF, acute renal failure; MI, myocardial infarction; AKA, above the knee amputation; BP, bypass; TEVAR, thoracic endovascular aortic repair; MOF, multi-organ failure.
Table 7 Thirty-day outcomes for patients treated with endovascular approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Endovascular fenestration</th>
<th>Aortic stenting</th>
<th>Aortic stent-graft</th>
<th>Iliac stenting</th>
<th>Technical success</th>
<th>30-day clinical success</th>
<th>30-day morbidity</th>
<th>30-day redo</th>
<th>Date of redo (time post-treatment)</th>
<th>Type of redo</th>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lookstein (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 GI and liver ischemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36 hours</td>
<td>Exploratory laparotomy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauterbach (17)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (1 balloon + 1 balloon &amp; iliac stenting)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggebrecht (20)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (14 mm balloon)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ARF and transient HD for reperfusion syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1 thoracic aortic stent-graft to seal proximal entry tear</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vedantham (21)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleck (22)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 talent stent-grafts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bowel ischemia and compartment syndrome of lower limb and ARF with temporary dialysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st and 2nd days</td>
<td>1 inferior mesenteric artery stenting for bowel ischemia; 1 left lower limb fasciotomy</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorennzen (23)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Talent stent-graft</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ARF for rhabdomyolysis and temporary hemodialysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st, 4th, 6th and 9th days fasciotomies</td>
<td>3 wound debridements and fasciotomies of right forearm; 1 right forearm amputation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan (24)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (stenting)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamagchi (25)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (12 mm balloon)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14th day</td>
<td>Adjunctive endovascular fenestration + wall stent deployment</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henke (26) (local series)*</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Endovascular fenestration</th>
<th>Aortic stenting</th>
<th>Iliac stenting</th>
<th>Technical success</th>
<th>30-day clinical success</th>
<th>30-day morbidity</th>
<th>30-day redo</th>
<th>Date of redo (time post-treatment)</th>
<th>Type of redo</th>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verhoye (30)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS, not specified</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujita (31)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30-day common iliac stenting.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyer (32)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (valiant)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30-day common iliac stenting.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulcal (35)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sfyroereas (36)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narita (38)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfield (42)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>31/57 (54%)</td>
<td>18/57</td>
<td>24/57</td>
<td>21/57 (37%)</td>
<td>22/33 (46%)</td>
<td>22/33 (67%)</td>
<td>6/13 (46%)</td>
<td>2/26 (8%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2/26 (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* overlap of 18 patients NS, not specified; n, number of patients; GI, gastrointestinal; ARF, acute renal failure; HD, hemodialysis.
may develop not only after surgical fenestration but also after extra-anatomic bypass graft, probably due to the preoperative comorbidities present in such patients.

Endovascular approach has been proposed as a less invasive procedure for TBAD since the 1990s. This approach includes TEVAR for covering the proximal entry tear with or without distal aortic/iliac stentgrafting and/or endovascular fenestration. In endovascular fenestration, septectomy of intimal septum is performed with guidewire, catheters and balloon, and sometimes with stent deployment to maintain the patency of fenestration. TEVAR with or without adjunctive distal (iliac ± abdominal aortic) stenting/endografting may induce true lumen expansion and the regression of LLM. In the present review, endovascular fenestration was performed in half of all endovascular procedures. The overall mortality of endovascular treatment was 8%; however both endovascular procedures were associated with severe complications, including gastrointestinal ischemia, acute renal failure and reperfusion syndrome.

Limitations of the study

This review has several limitations. Many studies could not be included for analysis as they omitted patient demographics. Of the included studies, several publications failed to report on outcomes and clinical and procedural data. Another key limitation was the lack of standardization in reporting data and outcomes across studies.

Conclusions

LLM syndrome secondary to TBAD was observed mainly during the acute phase (97%). In the vast majority of patients, LLM led to acute limb ischemia. Bilateral clinical presentation occurred in more than half of all cases, owing to flow limitation or thrombosis involving aorta of bilateral iliac axis. LLM was frequently associated with renal and visceral malperfusion while concomitant spinal cord ischemia was less common. Treatment of hypertension is essential and some cases of mild LLM improved with medical therapy alone. Surgical treatment displayed a higher rate of complications and surgical fenestration had higher mortality. Although the endovascular approach is less invasive, complication rates remained high.
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